arXiv:1206.6033v2 [math.RA] 11 Feb 2013

Finitely generated algebras with involution and their identities.

Irina Sviridova*

Departamento de Matemática,
Universidade de Brasília,
70910-900 Brasília, DF, Brazil

February 11, 2013.

Abstract

We consider associative algebras with involution over a field of characteristic zero. In this case, we prove that for any finitely generated associative algebra with involution there exists a finite dimensional algebra with involution which satisfies the same identities with involution. This is an analogue and an extension of the theorem of A.Kemer for ordinary identities [8]. The similar results were proved earlier by the author for identities graded by a finite abelian group [15], and by E.Aljadeff, and A.Kanel-Belov [1] for identities graded by any finite group.

MSC: Primary 16R50; Secondary 16W50, 16R10

Keywords: Associative algebra, algebras with involution, identities with involution.

Introduction

The theory of polynomial identities of algebras is an important area of the modern algebra. In the last years various generalizations of polynomial identities become popular. The purpose of the present paper is to study identities with involution. We prove that finitely generated algebras with involution are equivalent to finite dimensional algebras in terms of $*$-identities, the field being of characteristic zero.

Note that almost all notions mentioned here can be applied not only for associative algebras but for other classes of algebras. Nevertheless throughout the paper we consider only associative algebras over a field of characteristic zero. Further they will simply be called algebras.

Let F be a field of characteristic zero. We consider associative algebras over F. An anti-automorphism $*$ of the second order of an F-algebra A is called an *involution*. If we fix an involution $*$ of an associative F-algebra A then the pair $(A, *)$

---

[*] Supported by CNPq, DPP/UnB and by CNPq-FAPDF PRONEX grant 2009/00091-0 (193.000.580/2009); e-mail `I.Sviridova@mat.unb.br`

is called an *associative algebra with involution* (or *associative $*$-algebra*). Note that an algebra with involution can be considered as an algebra with the supplementary unary operation $*$ satisfying identities

$$\begin{aligned}&(\alpha a+\beta b)^*=\alpha a^*+\beta b^*,\\&(a\cdot b)^*=b^*\cdot a^*, \qquad (a^*)^*=a\end{aligned}$$

for any $a,b\in A$, and $\alpha,\beta\in F$.

Observe that any $*$-algebra can be decomposed into the sum of symmetric and skew-symmetric parts. An element $a\in A$ is called symmetric if $a^*=a$, and skew-symmetric if $a^*=-a$. So, $a+a^*$ is symmetric and $a-a^*$ skew-symmetric for any $a\in A$. Thus, we have $A=A^+\oplus A^-$, where A^+ is the subspace formed by all symmetric elements (*symmetric part*), and A^+ is the subspace of all skew-symmetric elements of A (*skew-symmetric part*). We call symmetric and skew-symmetric elements of a $*$-algebra $*$-*homogeneous* elements.

We use standard notations $a\circ b=ab+ba$, and $[a,b]=ab-ba$. It is well known that the symmetric part A^+ of a $*$-algebra A with the operation $\circ$ is a Jordan algebra, and the skew-symmetric part A^- with the operation $[,]$ is a Lie algebra.

A homomorphism of algebras with involution is a $*$-homomorphism if it commutes with the involution. An ideal $I\trianglelefteq A$ of a $*$-algebra A is $*$-ideal if it is invariant under the involution. For algebras with involution we consider only $*$-ideals, and $*$-homomorphisms. In this case the quotient algebra A/I is also an algebra with involution.

We denote by $A_1\times\cdots\times A_\rho$ the direct product of algebras $A_1,\dots,A_\rho$, and by $A_1\oplus\cdots\oplus A_\rho\subseteq A$ the direct sum of subspaces A_i of an algebra A. It is clear that a direct product of algebras with involution is also an algebra with involution. Throughout the paper we denote by $J(A)$ the Jacobson radical of A. By default, all bases and dimensions of spaces and algebras are considered over the base field F. We consider the lexicographical order on n-tuples of numbers.

The notion of identity with involution (a $*$-identity) is a formal extension of the notion of ordinary polynomial identity. We refer the reader to the textbooks [6], [7], [11], and to [5], [8] concerning basic definitions, facts and properties of polynomial identities.

A brief introduction to identities with involution will be given in Section 1 (see also [11]). Section 2 contains an information about basic properties of the main objects and constructions. Here we also introduce the parameters $\mathrm{par}_*(A)$ of a finite dimensional algebra A, and the Kemer index $\mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma)$ of an ideal Γ of identities with involution of a finitely generated algebra with involution. Section 3 is devoted to finite dimensional $*$-algebras, namely, to connection between their structural parameters par_*, and the indices of their ideals of $*$-identities ind_*. Section 4 is devoted to representable algebras, and to the technique of approximation of finitely generated algebras with involution by finite dimensional $*$-algebras. The concept of form identities with involution is also considered in this section. Section 5 contains the proof of the following main result.

Theorem 1 *Let F be a field of zero characteristic. Then a non-zero $*T$-ideal of $*$-identities of a finitely generated associative F-algebra with involution coincides with the $*T$-ideal of $*$-identities of some finite dimensional associative F-algebra with involution.*

The methods and techniques are an adaptation of the methods used by the author in the proof of the corresponding result for graded identities of finitely generated algebras graded by a finite abelian group [15], see also [1] for a more general result. In both cases the origin of methods is the techniques developed by Alexander Kemer to obtain the classification of varieties of non-graded and $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded associative algebras and the positive solution of the Specht problem ([8]). The useful interpretation of his methods can be found in [5].

In general, we follow the structure of the proof [15] with necessary modifications for the case of involution. Note that for several technical statements the proof is the literal repetition of the corresponding proof for the graded identities. In such cases we refer the reader to [15]. The origin of the principal notations and definitions is [5] (see also [1]). More details concerning the basic constructions and definitions also can be found there.

1 Free algebra with involution.

Consider a countable set of letters $X = \{x_i | i \in \mathbb{N}\}$, and denote $X^* = \{x_i, x_i^* | x_i \in X\}$. We define $*$-action on monomials in X^* by

$$(a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_n})^* = a_{i_n}^* \cdots a_{i_1}^*, \quad a_j \in X^*, \quad \text{where} \quad (x_j^*)^* = x_j \quad \text{for all} \quad x_j \in X.$$

This action is naturally extended to the involution on the free associative algebra $\mathfrak{F} = F\langle X^* \rangle$ generated by the set X^*. The algebra $\mathfrak{F}$ with this involution is called the free associative algebra with involution. Then an element of the free algebra with involution has a form $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{(i),(\theta)} \alpha_{(i),(\theta)} x_{i_1}^{\theta_1} \cdots x_{i_m}^{\theta_m}$, where $x_i \in X$, $\alpha_{(i),(\theta)} \in F$, $\theta_i \in \{0, 1\}$, and $x_i^\theta = x_i$ if $\theta = 0$, $x_i^\theta = x_i^*$ if $\theta = 1$.

Taking into account the decomposition of $*$-algebras into symmetric and skew-symmetric parts we can consider another construction of the free associative algebra with involution. Consider two countable sets $Y = \{y_i | i \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $Z = \{z_i | i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. The action $*$ is defined on monomials in $Y \cup Z$ by equalities

$$\begin{aligned} &w^* = (a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_n})^* = a_{i_n}^* \cdots a_{i_1}^* = (-1)^{\delta(w)} a_{i_n} \cdots a_{i_1}, \quad \text{where} \\ &y_j^* = y_j, \quad z_j^* = -z_j, \quad a_j \in Y \cup Z. \end{aligned}$$

Here the sign is determined by the parity of the number $\delta(w)$ of variables from the set Z in the monomial w. The linear extension of this action is an involution on the free associative algebra $F\langle Y, Z \rangle$ generated by the set $Y \cup Z$. The equalities

$$\begin{aligned} &y_i = \frac{x_i + x_i^*}{2}, \quad z_i = \frac{x_i - x_i^*}{2}; \\ &x_i = y_i + z_i, \quad x_i^* = y_i - z_i \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

induce an isomorphism of algebras with involution $F\langle X^*\rangle$ and $F\langle Y, Z\rangle$. We use both notations for the free associative algebra with involution $\mathfrak{F}$. We call a set of variables $S \subseteq Y$ or $S \subseteq Z$ (namely, if S does not contain variables from Y and Z simultaneously) $*$-*homogeneous*.

Note that degrees of polynomials in the first case are defined by conditions $\deg_{x_i} x_i = \deg_{x_i} x_i^* = 1$ $(i \in \mathbb{N})$.

Let $f = f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F\langle X^*\rangle$ be a non-trivial $*$-polynomial. We say that a $*$-algebra A satisfies *the identity with involution* (or $*$-*identity*) $f(x_1, \dots x_n) = 0$ if $f(a_1, \dots a_n) = 0$ for any $a_i \in A$. If a polynomial $f = f(y_1, \dots, y_n, z_1, \dots, z_m) \in F\langle Y, Z\rangle$ is written in terms of the symmetric and skew-symmetric variables then $f = 0$ is $*$-identity of A iff $f(a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_m) = 0$ for all $a_i \in A^+$, and $b_i \in A^-$.

Let $\mathrm{Id}^*(A) \trianglelefteq F\langle X^*\rangle$ be the ideal of all identities with involution of A. Similar to the case of ordinary identities any ideal of identities with involution is a two-sided $*$-ideal of the free algebra with involution $F\langle X^*\rangle$ invariant under its $*$-endomorphisms. We call such ideals $*$*T-ideals*. Conversely, any $*$T-ideal of $F\langle X^*\rangle$ is the ideal of identities with involution of some F-algebra with involution.

Given a monomial $w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ in X^* (in case $a_i \in X^*$) or in $Y \cup Z$ (if $a_i \in Y \cup Z$) let us denote by $\widetilde{w} = a_n \cdots a_2 a_1$ the monomial rewritten in the reverse order. Given a polynomial $f = \sum_w \alpha_w w$, we denote $\tilde{f} = \sum_w \alpha_w \widetilde{w}$ (where w-s are monomials, $\alpha_w \in F$). Note that this operation is also an involution of $\mathfrak{F}$. It is clear that for any $f \in \mathfrak{F}$ we have $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)^* = \tilde{f}(x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*)$. In particular, polynomials f, and $\tilde{f}$ always belong to the same $*$T-ideal.

For a set $S \subseteq F\langle X^*\rangle$ of $*$-polynomials denote by $*T[S] \trianglelefteq F\langle X^*\rangle$ the $*$T-ideal generated by S. Then $*T[S]$ contains exactly all identities with involution which follow from polynomials of the set S. We say that two algebras with involution A and B are $*$PI-equivalent $A \sim_* B$ if $\mathrm{Id}^*(A) = \mathrm{Id}^*(B)$. We also write $f = g \pmod{\Gamma}$ for a $*$T-ideal Γ and $*$-polynomials $f, g \in F\langle X^*\rangle$ if $f - g \in \Gamma$.

It is clear that the ordinary free associative algebra $F\langle X\rangle$ (without involution) can be considered as a subalgebra of $F\langle X^*\rangle$. Particularly, an ordinary polynomial identity (without involution) can be considered as an identity with involution. So, let A be a $*$-algebra, $\mathrm{Id}^*(A)$ ideal of $*$-identities, and $\mathrm{Id}(A)$ ideal of ordinary identities of A, then we have $\mathrm{Id}(A) \subseteq \mathrm{Id}^*(A)$. Moreover, by Amitsur's theorem ([2], [3], see also [11]) any $*$-algebra satisfying a non-trivial $*$-identity also has a non-trivial ordinary identity.

Note that a finitely generated algebra with involution also has a finite generating set consisting of symmetric and skew-symmetric elements. Such set is called a $*$-homogeneous generating set.

Given a finitely generated $*$-algebra A, we denote by $\mathrm{rk}(A)$ the least possible number of generators. Denote by $\mathrm{rkhs}(S)$ the greater of the numbers of symmetric and skew-symmetric elements of a $*$-homogeneous generating set S of A. Then $\mathrm{rkh}(A)$ is the least possible $\mathrm{rkhs}(S)$ for the algebra A.

Let $X_\nu^* = \{x_i, x_i^* | 1 \leq i \leq \nu\}$ be a finite set and $F\langle X_\nu^*\rangle$ the free associative algebra with involution of rank ν, $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. It is isomorphic to the algebra $F\langle Y_\nu, Z_\nu\rangle$ in symmetric $Y_\nu = \{y_1, \dots, y_\nu\}$, and skew-symmetric variables $Z_\nu = \{z_1, \dots, z_\nu\}$.

Let A be a finitely generated algebra with involution, then for any $\nu \geq \mathrm{rk}(A)$ the algebra with involution $U_\nu = F\langle X_\nu^*\rangle/(\mathrm{Id}^*(A) \cap F\langle X_\nu^*\rangle)$ is the relatively free algebra with involution of the rank ν, and $\mathrm{Id}^*(A) = \mathrm{Id}^*(U_\nu)$.

Given a $*$T-ideal $\Gamma_1 \subseteq F\langle X^*\rangle$ and a $*$-algebra B, denote by

$$\Gamma_1(B) = \{f(b_1, \dots, b_n) | f \in \Gamma_1, b_i \in B\} \trianglelefteq B$$

the verbal ideal of B corresponding to Γ_1.

Similarly to the case of ordinary [8], and graded identities [15], we have

Remark 1 *1. Let Γ be a $*$T-ideal. A $*$-polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is an identity with involution of the relatively free algebra $F\langle X_\nu^*\rangle/(\Gamma \cap F\langle X_\nu^*\rangle)$ if and only if $f(h_1, \dots, h_n) \in \Gamma$ for any $*$-polynomials $h_1, \dots, h_n \in F\langle X_\nu^*\rangle$.*

2. Let $\Gamma_2 = \mathrm{Id}^*(A)$ be the ideal of identities with involution of a finitely generated $*$-algebra A. Then the condition $\Gamma_1(F\langle X_\nu^*\rangle) \subseteq \Gamma_2$ implies $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_2$ for any $\nu \geq \mathrm{rk}(A)$, and the condition $\Gamma_1(F\langle Y_\nu, Z_\nu\rangle) \subseteq \Gamma_2$ implies $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_2$ for any $\nu \geq \mathrm{rkh}(A)$.

Note that this remark is true for algebras of any signature.

The notion of degree of a $*$-identity is similar to the case of ordinary identities (see [6, 8, 11]). In particular, we can consider multilinear identities.

Let $P_n^* = \mathrm{Span}_F\{x_{\sigma(1)}^{\theta_1} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}^{\theta_n} | \sigma \in \mathrm{S}_n, \theta_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$ be a vector space of all multilinear $*$-polynomials of degree n. A multilinear $*$-polynomial of degree n has the form $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_n,\ (\theta) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n} \alpha_{\sigma,(\theta)} x_{\sigma(1)}^{\theta_1} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}^{\theta_n} \in P_n^*$. Note that in terms of symmetric and skew-symmetric variables the space of multilinear polynomials with involution of degree n has the form $P_n = \mathrm{Span}_F\{x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)} | \sigma \in \mathrm{S}_n, x_i \in Y \cup Z\}$. It is clear that P_n is the direct sum of subspaces of multihomogeneous and multilinear polynomials depending on the same symmetric and skew-symmetric variables. So, a multilinear polynomial of degree n has the form $f(y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_{n-k}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_n} \alpha_\sigma x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)} \in P_{k,n-k}$, where $x_i = y_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, and $x_i = z_{i-k}$ if $i = k+1, \dots, n$ $(0 \leq k \leq n)$.

Then for an algebra with involution A and for the $*$T-ideal of its $*$-identities Γ we have that $\Gamma_{k,n-k} = P_{k,n-k} \cap \Gamma$ and $P_{k,n-k}(\Gamma) = P_{k,n-k}(A) = P_{k,n-k}/(P_{k,n-k} \cap \Gamma)$ are $(FS_k \otimes FS_{n-k})$-modules. Here S_k and S_{n-k} act on symmetric and skew-symmetric variables independently (see, e.g., [10]). Moreover, we can assume that the elements of $\Gamma_{k,n-k}$ and $P_{k,n-k}(\Gamma)$ depend on variables $\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$, and $\{z_1, \dots, z_{n-k}\}$. Then the subspaces of multilinear $*$-identities of A $\Gamma_n = P_n \cap \Gamma$, and of multilinear parts of relatively free algebra $P_n(\Gamma) = P_n(A) = P_n/(P_n \cap \Gamma)$ are the direct sums of $\binom{n}{k}$ copies of $\Gamma_{k,n-k}$, and $P_{k,n-k}(\Gamma)$ respectively.

It is well known that in the case of zero characteristic any system of identities (ordinary or with involution) is equivalent to a system of multilinear identities. Thus, in the case of zero characteristic it is enough to consider only multilinear identities.

Then we obtain the next lemma.

Lemma 1 *The ideal of $*$-identities of any finitely generated associative algebra with involution contains the ideal of $*$-identities of some finite dimensional algebra with involution.*

Proof. Let A be a finitely generated associative algebra with involution, and $\Gamma = Id^*(A) \neq 0$ the ideal of its $*$-identities. From [2], [3] we have that A is PI-algebra, thus the ideal of its ordinary identities is non-trivial $Id(A) \neq 0$. Then from [8] it follows that there exists a finite dimensional algebra C that has the same ideal of ordinary identities $Id(A) = Id(C)$. Let us consider the direct product $B = C \times C^{op}$, where C^{op} is the opposite algebra. The algebra B has the natural exchange involution defined by $(a, b)^* = (b, a), \quad a, b \in C$. Since we consider the case of characteristic zero, the ideal of identities with involution of B is generated by ordinary identities of C, and it lies in Γ. Indeed, take a multilinear polynomial $f(y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_{n-k}) \in F\langle Y, Z\rangle$ and consider a $*$-homogeneous substitution $y_1 = (a_1, a_1), \dots, y_k = (a_k, a_k)$, $z_1 = (a_{k+1}, -a_{k+1}), \dots, z_{n-k} = (a_n, -a_n)$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & f((a_1, a_1), \dots, (a_k, a_k), (a_{k+1}, -a_{k+1}), \dots, (a_n, -a_n)) \\ & = (f(a_1, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n), (-1)^{n-k} \tilde{f}(a_1, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n)), \end{aligned}$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n \in C$ are arbitrary. Note that $f = 0$ is a polynomial identity of an algebra with involution iff $\tilde{f} = 0$ is an identity of the same algebra. Hence, $f(y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_{n-k}) = 0$ is a $*$-identity of B if and only if $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $\tilde{f}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in Id(C) = Id(A)$. Thus $Id^*(B) \subseteq Id^*(A)$. $\square$

2 The Kemer index of $*$-identity.

Let us consider a finite dimensional F-algebra A with involution. It is well known (see, e.g., Theorems 3.4.3, 3.4.4 in [11]) that an analogue of the Wedderburn-Malcev decomposition holds for algebras with involution.

Lemma 2 *([11]) Let F be a field of zero characteristic. Then any finite dimensional F-algebra with involution A is isomorphic as $*$-algebra to an F-algebra with involution of the form*

$$A' = C_1 \times \cdots \times C_p \oplus J. \tag{2}$$

Where the Jacobson radical $J = J(A')$ is a $*$-ideal, $B' = C_1 \times \cdots \times C_p$ is a maximal semisimple $*$-invariant subalgebra of A', C_l are $*$-simple algebras ($p \geq 0$).

We can construct an algebra with involution which has the Jacobson radical free in some sense. For a $*$-algebra B (not necessarily without unit) denote by $B^{\#} = B \oplus F \cdot 1_F$ the $*$-algebra with adjoint unit 1_F $\;((b + \alpha 1_F)^* = b^* + \alpha 1_F$ for all $b \in B, \; \alpha \in F)$.

Given a finite dimensional $*$-algebra $A = B \oplus J(A)$ with a maximal $*$-semisimple subalgebra B and the Jacobson radical $J(A)$ consider a $*$-invariant subalgebra

$\widetilde{B} \subseteq B$. Take the free product $\widetilde{B}^{\#} *_F F\langle X_q^*\rangle^{\#}$, where $F\langle X_q^*\rangle^{\#}$ is the free unitary $*$-algebra of a rank q. It is the $*$-algebra with involution naturally defined by equalities $(u_1 \cdots u_s)^* = (u_s)^* \cdots (u_1)^*$, where $u_i \in \widetilde{B}^{\#} \bigcup F\langle X_q^*\rangle^{\#}$.

Let us consider the subalgebra $\widetilde{B}(X_q^*)$ of $\widetilde{B}^{\#} *_F F\langle X_q^*\rangle^{\#}$ generated by $\widetilde{B} \cup F\langle X_q^*\rangle$. It is $*$-invariant. Denote by (X_q^*) the two-sided $*$-ideal of $\widetilde{B}(X_q^*)$ generated by the set of variables X_q^*. Then $\widetilde{B}(X_q^*) = \widetilde{B} \oplus (X_q^*)$.

Given a $*$T-ideal Γ and a positive integer s, we can consider the quotient algebra

$$\mathcal{R}_{q,s}(\widetilde{B},\Gamma) = \widetilde{B}(X_q^*)/(\Gamma(\widetilde{B}(X_q^*)) + (X_q^*)^s). \qquad (3)$$

Denote also $\mathcal{R}_{q,s}(A) = \mathcal{R}_{q,s}(B, \mathrm{Id}^*(A))$, where $\Gamma = \mathrm{Id}^*(A)$, $\widetilde{B} = B$.

Lemma 3 *For any* $q, s \in \mathbb{N}$ *and a* $*$*T-ideal* $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{Id}^*(A)$ *the algebra* $\mathcal{R}_{q,s}(\widetilde{B},\Gamma) = \overline{B} \oplus J(\mathcal{R}_{q,s}(\widetilde{B},\Gamma))$ *is a finite dimensional algebra with involution. Here* $\overline{B} \cong \widetilde{B}$ *is a maximal semisimple* $*$*-subalgebra of* $\mathcal{R}_{q,s}(\widetilde{B},\Gamma)$*. The Jacobson radical* $J(\mathcal{R}_{q,s}(\widetilde{B},\Gamma)) = (X_q^*)/(\Gamma(\widetilde{B}(X_q^*)) + (X_q^*)^s)$ *is nilpotent of class at most* s*.* $\mathrm{Id}^*(\mathcal{R}_{q,s}(\widetilde{B},\Gamma)) \supseteq \Gamma$.

If $q \geq \mathrm{rk}(J(A))$*, and* s *is greater than the class of nilpotency* $\mathrm{nd}(A)$ *of* $J(A)$ *then* $\mathrm{Id}^*(\mathcal{R}_{q,s}(A)) = \mathrm{Id}^*(A)$.

Proof. The ideal $I = \Gamma(\widetilde{B}(X_q^*)) + (X_q^*)^s$ is $*$-invariant. It is clear that $I \subseteq (X_q^*)$. Then for the canonical $*$-homomorphism $\psi : \widetilde{B}(X_q^*) \to \mathcal{R}_{q,s}(\widetilde{B},\Gamma)$ we obtain $\overline{B} = \psi(\widetilde{B}) \cong \widetilde{B}$, and $\psi((X_q^*)) = (X_q^*)/I$ is the maximal nilpotent ideal of the algebra $\mathcal{R}_{q,s}(\widetilde{B},\Gamma)$ of class at most s. It is clear that $(X_q^*)/I$ is $*$-invariant and finite dimensional. Hence, $\mathcal{R}_{q,s}(\widetilde{B},\Gamma) = \overline{B} \oplus \psi((X_q^*))$ is also a finite dimensional $*$-algebra with the Jacobson radical $J(\mathcal{R}_{q,s}(\widetilde{B},\Gamma)) = \psi((X_q^*))$. Also, $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{Id}^*(\mathcal{R}_{q,s}(\widetilde{B},\Gamma))$ for any $q, s \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let us take $\Gamma = \mathrm{Id}^*(A)$, $\widetilde{B} = B$. Consider a generating set $\{r_1, \dots, r_\nu\}$ of the Jacobson radical $J(A)$. If $q \geq \nu$, and $s \geq \mathrm{nd}(A)$ then the map $\varphi : \overline{x}_i = x_i + I \mapsto r_i \quad (x_i \in X$ for $i = 1, \dots, \nu)$ can be extended to a surjective $*$-homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{R}_{q,s}(A) \to A$ assuming $\varphi(b + I) = b$ for all $b \in B$. Therefore, $\Gamma = \mathrm{Id}^*(A) \supseteq \mathrm{Id}^*(\mathcal{R}_{q,s}(A))$. $\square$

Observe that the construction of $\mathcal{R}_{q,s}(\widetilde{B},\Gamma)$ can also be made in terms of symmetric and skew-symmetric variables exchanging the set of variables X_q^* by the set $Y_q \cup Z_q = \{y_1, \dots, y_q, z_1, \dots, z_q\}$.

Over an algebraically closed field the Wedderburn-Malcev decomposition (2) of a finite dimensional $*$-algebra can be described in more details.

Lemma 4 *Let* F *be an algebraically closed field. Any finite dimensional* F*-algebra with involution* A *is isomorphic as a* $*$*-algebra to an* F*-algebra with involution* $A' =$

$C_1 \times \cdots \times C_p \oplus J$, where C_l-s are $*$-simple algebras of the following types:

1. $(M_{k_l}(F), t) -$ *the full matrix algebra with the transpose involution* $* = t$,
2. $(M_{k_l}(F), s) -$ *the full matrix algebra with the symplectic involution* $* = s$, $(k_l \in 2\mathbb{Z})$,
3. $(M_{k_l}(F) \times M_{k_l}(F)^{op}, \bar{*}) -$ *the direct product of the full matrix algebra and its opposite algebra with the exchange involution* $\bar{*}$ *(see [11]).* (4)

Moreover, A' can be generated as a vector space by sets of its symmetric elements $D_0,\ U_0 \subseteq A'$, and skew-symmetric elements $D_1,\ U_1 \subseteq A'$ of the next form

$$\begin{aligned}
&D_0 = \{d^{(0)}_{l i_l j_l} = \varepsilon_l d^{(0)}_{l i_l j_l} \varepsilon_l \ |\ \ (i_l, j_l) \in \mathcal{I}_l;\ 1 \le l \le p\}, \\
&D_1 = \{d^{(1)}_{l i_l j_l} = \varepsilon_l d^{(1)}_{l i_l j_l} \varepsilon_l \ |\ \ (i_l, j_l) \in \mathcal{J}_l;\ 1 \le l \le p\}, && (5)\\
&U_0 = \{(\varepsilon_{l'} r \varepsilon_{l''} + \varepsilon_{l''} r^* \varepsilon_{l'})/2|\ 1 \le l' \le l'' \le p+1;\ r \in J\} \\
&U_1 = \{(\varepsilon_{l'} r \varepsilon_{l''} - \varepsilon_{l''} r^* \varepsilon_{l'})/2|\ 1 \le l' \le l'' \le p+1;\ r \in J\}. && (6)
\end{aligned}$$

Where in case $C_l = (M_{k_l}(F), t)$ we set

$$\begin{aligned}
&d^{(0)}_{l i_l j_l} = E_{l i_l j_l} + E_{l j_l i_l}, \quad 1 \le i_l \le j_l \le k_l, \\
&d^{(1)}_{l i_l j_l} = E_{l i_l j_l} - E_{l j_l i_l}, \quad 1 \le i_l < j_l \le k_l; && (7)
\end{aligned}$$

in case $C_l = (M_{k_l}(F), s)$ we set

$$\begin{aligned}
&d^{(0)}_{l i_l j_l} = E_{l i_l j_l} + E_{l \frac{k_l}{2}+j_l \frac{k_l}{2}+i_l}, \quad 1 \le i_l, j_l \le \frac{k_l}{2}, \\
&d^{(1)}_{l i_l j_l} = E_{l i_l j_l} - E_{l \frac{k_l}{2}+j_l \frac{k_l}{2}+i_l}, \quad 1 \le i_l, j_l \le \frac{k_l}{2}, \\
&d^{(0)}_{l i_l \frac{k_l}{2}+j_l} = E_{l i_l \frac{k_l}{2}+j_l} - E_{l j_l \frac{k_l}{2}+i_l}, \quad 1 \le i_l < j_l \le \frac{k_l}{2}, \\
&d^{(1)}_{l i_l \frac{k_l}{2}+j_l} = E_{l i_l \frac{k_l}{2}+j_l} + E_{l j_l \frac{k_l}{2}+i_l} \quad 1 \le i_l \le j_l \le \frac{k_l}{2}, \\
&d^{(0)}_{l \frac{k_l}{2}+i_l j_l} = E_{l \frac{k_l}{2}+i_l j_l} - E_{l \frac{k_l}{2}+j_l i_l}, \quad 1 \le i_l < j_l \le \frac{k_l}{2}, \\
&d^{(1)}_{l \frac{k_l}{2}+i_l j_l} = E_{l \frac{k_l}{2}+i_l j_l} + E_{l \frac{k_l}{2}+j_l i_l}, \quad 1 \le i_l \le j_l \le \frac{k_l}{2}; && (8)
\end{aligned}$$

in case $C_l = (M_{k_l}(F) \times M_{k_l}(F)^{op}, \bar{*})$ we set

$$\begin{aligned}
&d^{(0)}_{l i_l j_l} = (E_{l i_l j_l}, E_{l i_l j_l}), \qquad 1 \le i_l, j_l \le k_l, \\
&d^{(1)}_{l i_l j_l} = (E_{l i_l j_l}, -E_{l i_l j_l}), \quad 1 \le i_l, j_l \le k_l. && (9)
\end{aligned}$$

Here ε_l is the orthogonal central idempotent of $B' = C_1 \times \cdots \times C_p$, corresponding to the unit element of the l-th $*$-simple component C_l of the algebra A' (for any

$l = 1, \dots, p$), $E_{li_lj_l}$ are the matrix units. Elements r run on a set of elements of the Jacobson radical $J = J(A) = \oplus_{l',l''=1}^{p+1} \varepsilon_{l'} J \varepsilon_{l''}$, where ε_{p+1} is the adjoint idempotent. All idempotents ε_l ($l = 1, \dots, p+1$) are symmetric with respect to involution. The sets of pairs of indices $\mathcal{I}_l$, $\mathcal{J}_l$ are defined by conditions (7), (8), (9).

Proof. It is well known (see, e.g., Theorems 3.4.4 and 3.6.8 [11]) that any $*$-simple algebra is isomorphic to one of the algebras of the list (4). All units ε_l of $*$-simple components C_l of B' are symmetric with respect to involution. Elements $d^{(0)}_{li_lj_l}$, $d^{(1)}_{li_lj_l}$ defined by (7), (8), (9) form a $*$-homogeneous basis of the corresponding $*$-simple component C_l ($d^{(0)}_{li_lj_l}$ are symmetric, and $d^{(1)}_{li_lj_l}$ are skew-symmetric).

Any element $r \in J$ can be uniquely represented as a sum of elements from the subspaces $\varepsilon_{l'} J \varepsilon_{l''}$ ($l', l'' = 1, \dots, p+1$) (the Peirce decomposition). All elements of $\varepsilon_{l'} J \varepsilon_{l''}$ are sums of elements of U_0 and U_1 for $1 \le l' \le l'' \le p+1$, and differences of elements of U_0 and U_1 if $l' > l''$ (for $r = v^*$, $v \in J$). Here elements of U_0 are symmetric, and the elements of U_1 are skew-symmetric. $\square$

Observe that the condition for the base field F to be algebraically closed is necessary only for the description of the semi-simple part of A ((4), (5), (7), (8), (9)). The statements concerning the Jacobson radical (for example, (6)) is evidently true for any finite dimensional algebra with involution. Moreover, considering $*$-identities we always can extend statement of Lemma 4 to the case of arbitrary field F of zero characteristic.

Definition 1 *An F-algebra A is called representable if A can be embedded into some algebra C that is finite dimensional over an extension $\widetilde{F} \supseteq F$ of the base field F.*

Lemma 5 *Let F be a field of zero characteristic. Any representable F-algebra with involution A is $*PI$-equivalent to some F-finite dimensional algebra with involution A' that satisfies the claims of Lemma 4.*

Proof. We always can assume that the extension $\widetilde{F} \supseteq F$ is algebraically closed. Suppose that A is isomorphic to an F-subalgebra $\mathcal{B}$ of a finite dimensional $\widetilde{F}$-algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$. The equality $(\varphi(a))^* = \varphi(a^*)$ for any $a \in A$ ($\varphi : A \to \mathcal{B}$ is the isomorphism of F-algebras) defines involution on $\mathcal{B}$. Let $\widetilde{U}$ be an $\widetilde{F}$-subalgebra of $*$-algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{op}$ with the exchange involution $\bar{*}$ generated by $\{(b, b^*) | b \in \mathcal{B}\}$ (here $*$ is the involution on $\mathcal{B}$ induced from A). Then $\widetilde{U} = \{\sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_i (b_i, b_i^*) | \alpha_i \in \widetilde{F}, b_i \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is an $\widetilde{F}$-finite dimensional $\bar{*}$-invariant subalgebra of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{op}$. For algebras and identities over F we have $\mathrm{Id}^*(\widetilde{U}) = \mathrm{Id}^*(\mathcal{B}) = \mathrm{Id}^*(A)$.

Using Lemma 4, over $\widetilde{F}$ we conclude that the $*$-algebra $\widetilde{U}$ has the decomposition (2), where $\widetilde{C}_l$s are isomorphic to $(M_{k_l}(\widetilde{F}), t)$, $(M_{k_l}(\widetilde{F}), s)$, or $(M_{k_l}(\widetilde{F}) \times M_{k_l}(\widetilde{F})^{op}, \bar{*})$. It is clear from (7), (8), and (9) that $\widetilde{C}_l = \widetilde{F} C_l$, where C_l is one of the algebras $(M_{k_l}(F), t)$, $(M_{k_l}(F), s)$, or $(M_{k_l}(F) \times M_{k_l}(F)^{op}, \bar{*})$ ($l = 1, \dots, p$), respectively. Let us take $B = C_1 \times \cdots \times C_p$, $\Gamma = \mathrm{Id}^*(A)$, $q = \dim_{\widetilde{F}} J(\widetilde{U})$, $s = \mathrm{nd}(\widetilde{U})$. Then the F-algebra $A' = \mathcal{R}_{q,s}(B, \Gamma)$ defined by (3) is a finite dimensional F-algebra with involution. By Lemma 3 the algebra A' satisfies the claims of Lemma 4, and $\mathrm{Id}^*(A') = \Gamma = \mathrm{Id}^*(\widetilde{U})$. $\square$

In particular, any finite dimensional F-algebra with involution A can be naturally embedded to the $*$-algebra $A \otimes_F \widetilde{F}$, which is finite dimensional over the algebraic closure $\widetilde{F} \supseteq F$. By this argument our study of identities with involution can be reduced to finite dimensional algebras specified in Lemma 4.

We will assume without lost of generality that a finite dimensional $*$-algebra A over a field F always satisfies the claims of Lemma 4. It means that a basis of A can be always chosen in the set $D_0 \cup D_1 \cup U_0 \cup U_1$. Notice that $D_0 \cup D_1$ is a $*$-homogeneous basis of the semisimple part $B = C_1 \times \cdots \times C_p$ of A. Particularly, for multilinear $*$-polynomials it is enough to consider only substitutions of $D_0 \cup U_0$ for the symmetric variables, and $D_1 \cup U_1$ for the skew-symmetric ones. A substitution of basic elements from $D_0 \cup D_1 \cup U_0 \cup U_1$ (5), (6) for a multilinear $*$-polynomial is called *elementary.* Elements of $D_0 \cup D_1$ are called semisimple, whereas elements of $U_0 \cup U_1$ are called radical elements.

Definition 2 *Let $A = B \oplus J$ be a finite dimensional algebra with involution over a field F, $B = B^+ \oplus B^-$ a maximal semisimple $*$-invariant subalgebra of A, and $J(A) = J$ the Jacobson radical of A. Denote by* $\mathrm{dims}_* A = (\dim B^+, \dim B^-)$ *dimensions of the symmetric and skew-symmetric parts of the semisimple subalgebra B, denote by* $\mathrm{nd}(A)$ *the nilpotency class of the radical J. Define the parameter of A as* $\mathrm{par}_*(A) = (\mathrm{dims}_* A; \mathrm{nd}(A))$.

The 4-ple $\mathrm{cpar}_*(A) = (\mathrm{par}_*(A); \dim J(A))$ *we call the complex parameter of A.*

Recall that n-tuples of numbers are ordered lexicographically. It is clear that for any nonzero two-sided $*$-ideal $I \trianglelefteq A$ of A we have that $\mathrm{cpar}_*(A/I) < \mathrm{cpar}_*(A)$.

Let us define principle numeric parameters of the ideal of identities with involution of a finitely generated $*$-algebra.

Let $f = f(s_1, \ldots, s_k, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in F\langle X^* \rangle$ be a polynomial linear in all variables $S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_k\}$ ($S \subseteq Y$ or $S \subseteq Z$). We say that f is alternating in S, if $f(s_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, s_{\sigma(k)}, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (-1)^\sigma f(s_1, \ldots, s_k, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ holds for any permutation $\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_k$.

For any polynomial with involution $g(s_1, \ldots, s_k, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ that is linear in $S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_k\}$ we construct a polynomial alternating in S by setting

$$f(s_1, \ldots, s_k, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \mathcal{A}_S(g) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_k} (-1)^\sigma g(s_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, s_{\sigma(k)}, x_1, \ldots, x_n).$$

The corresponding mapping $\mathcal{A}_S$ is a linear transformation. We call it the alternator. Any polynomial f alternating in S can be decomposed as $f = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \mathcal{A}_S(u_i)$, where the u_i's are monomials, $\alpha_i \in F$. Properties of alternating polynomials with involution are similar to that of ordinary polynomials (see, e.g., [6], [8], [11]). Note also that a $*$-polynomial f is alternating in S iff its involution image f^* is alternating in S. Particularly, any alternator commutes with involution.

Given a pair $\overline{t} = (t^+, t^-) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$ we say that a $*$-polynomial $f \in F\langle X^*\rangle$ has $\overline{t}$-alternating variables (f is $\overline{t}$-alternating) if $f(Y_0, Z_0, X)$ is linear in $Y_0 \cup Z_0$ and f is alternating in $Y_0 = \{y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_{t^+}}\} \subseteq Y$, and $Z_0 = \{z_{j_1}, \dots, z_{j_{t^-}}\} \subseteq Z$ independently.

Definition 3 *Fix $\overline{t} = (t^+, t^-) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$. Suppose that $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$ are some (possibly different) pairs satisfying the conditions $\tau_j = (\tau_j^+, \tau_j^-) > \overline{t}$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Let $f \in F\langle Y, Z\rangle$ be a multihomogeneous $*$-polynomial in some symmetric and skew-symmetric variables. Suppose that $f = f(S_1, \dots, S_{m+\mu}; X)$ has m collections of τ_j-alternating variables $S_j = Y_j \cup Z_j$ $(j = 1, \dots, m)$, and μ sets of $\overline{t}$-alternating variables $S_j = Y_j \cup Z_j$ $(j = m+1, \dots, m+\mu)$. We assume that all these sets are disjoint. Then we say that f is of the type $(\overline{t}; m; \mu) = (t^+, t^-; m; \mu)$. Here $Y_j \subseteq Y$ are symmetric, and $Z_j \subseteq Z$ skew-symmetric variables, and $|Y_j| = \tau_j^+$, $|Z_j| = \tau_j^-$ for any $j = 1, \dots, m$, or $|Y_j| = t^+$, $|Z_j| = t^-$ for all $j = m+1, \dots, m+\mu$ (f is alternating in each Y_j, Z_j, $j+1, \dots, m+\mu$).*

Observe that a multihomogeneous polynomial f of a type $(\overline{t}; m; \mu)$ is also of the type $(\overline{t}; m'; \mu')$ for all $m' \leq m$, and $\mu' \leq \mu$. Particularly, any nontrivial multilinear $*$-polynomial in $Y \cup Z$ of degree m has the type $(0, 0; m; \mu)$ for any $\mu \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Note also that multihomogeneous polynomials f and f^* have always the same type.

Definition 4 *Given a $*T$-ideal $\Gamma \trianglelefteq F\langle Y, Z\rangle$ the parameter $\beta(\Gamma) = (t^+, t^-)$ is the greatest lexicographic pair $\overline{t} = (t^+, t^-) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$ such that for any $s \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a $*$-polynomial $f \notin \Gamma$ of the type $(\overline{t}; 0; s)$.*

Any finitely generated PI-algebra A satisfies the ordinary Capelli identity of some order d ([8], [11]). Hence any polynomial $f \in F\langle Y, Z\rangle$ of the type $(t_1, t_2; 0; s)$ with $t_1 \geq d$ or $t_2 \geq d$ $(s \in \mathbb{N})$ belongs to $\mathrm{Id}^*(A)$. It means that the parameter $\beta(\Gamma)$ is well defined for any proper $*$T-ideal $\Gamma \trianglelefteq F\langle Y, Z\rangle$ of identities with involution of a finitely generated $*$-algebra. The next parameter is also well defined.

Definition 5 *Given a nonnegative integer μ let $\gamma(\Gamma; \mu) = s \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest integer $s > 0$ such that any $*$-polynomial of type $(\beta(\Gamma); s; \mu)$ belongs to Γ.*

$\gamma(\Gamma; \mu)$ is a positive non-increasing function of μ. Let us denote the limit of this function $\gamma(\Gamma) = \lim\limits_{\mu\to\infty} \gamma(\Gamma; \mu) \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then $\omega(\Gamma)$ is the smallest number $\widehat{\mu}$ such that $\gamma(\Gamma; \mu) = \gamma(\Gamma)$ for any $\mu \geq \widehat{\mu}$.

Definition 6 *We call by the Kemer index of a $*T$-ideal Γ the lexicographically ordered collection $\mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma) = (\beta(\Gamma); \gamma(\Gamma))$.*

Let us denote $\omega(A) = \omega(\mathrm{Id}^*(A))$, $\gamma(A; \mu) = \gamma(\mathrm{Id}^*(A); \mu)$, $\mathrm{ind}_*(A) = \mathrm{ind}_*(\mathrm{Id}^*(A))$, where A is a finitely generated PI-algebra with involution.

It is clear that A is nilpotent of class s algebra with involution if and only if $\mathrm{ind}_*(A) = \mathrm{par}_*(A) = (0, 0; s)$ $(\omega(A) = 0)$.

Definition 7 *Given a nonnegative integer* μ *a nontrivial multihomogeneous polynomial* $f \in F\langle Y, Z\rangle$ *is called* μ*-boundary polynomial for a* $*T$*-ideal* Γ *if* $f \notin \Gamma$, *and* f *has the type* $(\beta(\Gamma); \gamma(\Gamma) - 1; \mu)$.

Let us denote by $S_\mu(\Gamma)$ *the set of all* μ*-boundary polynomials for* Γ. *Denote also* $S_\mu(A) = S_\mu(\mathrm{Id}^*(A))$, $K_\mu(\Gamma) = *T[S_\mu(\Gamma)]$, $K_{\mu,A} = K_\mu(\mathrm{Id}^*(A)) = *T[S_\mu(A)]$.

Any ideal of $*$-identities $\Gamma \neq F\langle Y, Z\rangle$ of a finitely generated algebra has multilinear boundary polynomials for all $\mu \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Moreover, a polynomial f belongs to $S_\mu(\Gamma)$ along with its full multilinearization $\widetilde{f}$, and its involution image f^*.

Note that the definitions of the Kemer index and boundary polynomials for $*$T-ideals are adaptations of the corresponding definitions for graded identities [15]. Thus, the literal repetition of the proofs of their properties for graded identities [15] also proves the same properties for identities with involution.

Lemma 6 *Given* $*T$*-ideals* Γ_1, $\Gamma_2 \subseteq F\langle Y, Z\rangle$ *admitting the Kemer indices, we have the next properties:*

1. *If* $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_2$ *then* $\mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma_1) \geq \mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma_2)$.
2. $\mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2) = \max\limits_{i=1,2} \mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma_i)$.
3. $\mathrm{ind}_*(A) \leq \mathrm{par}_*(A)$ *for any finite dimensional* $*$*-algebra* A.

Lemma 7 *For any* $*T$*-ideals* Γ_1, $\Gamma_2 \subseteq F\langle Y, Z\rangle$ *satisfying* $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_2$ *one of the following alternatives takes place:*

1. $\mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma_1) = \mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma_2)$, *and* $S_\mu(\Gamma_1) \supseteq S_\mu(\Gamma_2)$ $\forall \mu \in \mathbb{N}_0$;
2. $\mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma_1) > \mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma_2)$, *and* $S_{\hat{\mu}}(\Gamma_1) \subseteq \Gamma_2$ *for some* $\hat{\mu} \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Moreover, in case $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_2$ *the conditions* $\mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma_1) > \mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma_2)$ *and* $S_\mu(\Gamma_1) \subseteq \Gamma_2$ *(correspondingly the conditions* $\mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma_1) = \mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma_2)$ *and* $S_\mu(\Gamma_1) \supseteq S_\mu(\Gamma_2)$*) are equivalent for some* $\mu \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Lemma 8 *Given* $*T$*-ideals* Γ, $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_\rho$ *of* $*$*-identities of finitely generated PI-algebras with involution we have:*

1. *If* $\mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma_i) < \mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma)$ *for all* $i = 1, \ldots, \rho$ *then there exists* $\widehat{\mu} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *such that* $S_\mu(\Gamma) \subseteq \bigcap\limits_{i=1}^{\rho} \Gamma_i$ *for any* $\mu \geq \widehat{\mu}$.
2. *If* $\mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma_i) = \kappa$ *for any* $i = 1, \ldots, \rho$ *then for any* $\mu \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *holds*
$$S_\mu(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\rho} \Gamma_i) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\rho} S_\mu(\Gamma_i), \qquad K_\mu(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\rho} \Gamma_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\rho} K_\mu(\Gamma_i).$$
3. $\mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma) > \mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma + K_\mu(\Gamma))$ *for any* $\mu \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

3 $*$PI-reduced algebras.

Let us consider finite dimensional $*$-algebras which have the smallest parameters for the same $*$-identities.

Definition 8 *We say that a finite dimensional algebra A with involution is $*$PI-reduced if there do not exist finite dimensional $*$-algebras $A_1, \dots, A_\varrho$ ($\varrho \in \mathbb{N}$) such that $\bigcap\limits_{i=1}^{\varrho} \mathrm{Id}^*(A_i) = \mathrm{Id}^*(A)$, and $\mathrm{cpar}_*(A_i) < \mathrm{cpar}_*(A)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, \varrho$.*

Similarly to the case of ordinary identities ([5], [8]) and graded identities ([1], [15]) the next natural facts are also take place for algebras with involution.

Lemma 9 *Given a $*$PI-reduced algebra A with the Wedderburn-Malcev decomposition (2) $A = (C_1 \times \cdots \times C_p) \oplus J$, we have $C_{\sigma(1)} J C_{\sigma(2)} J \cdots J C_{\sigma(p)} \neq 0$ for some $\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_p$. A $*$PI-reduced algebra A has no two proper two-sided $*$-ideals $I_1, I_2 \lhd A$ satisfying $I_1 \cap I_2 = 0$.*

Particularly, $\mathrm{nd}(A) \geq p$ always holds for a $*$PI-reduced algebra A.

Lemma 10 *Any finite dimensional algebra with involution is $*$PI-equivalent to a finite direct product of finite dimensional $*$PI-reduced algebras.*

Lemma 10 along with Lemmas 7, 8 immediately implies the following.

Lemma 11 *A finite dimensional $*$-algebra A is $*$PI-equivalent to a direct product $\mathcal{O}(A) \times \mathcal{Y}(A)$ of finite dimensional $*$-algebras $\mathcal{O}(A)$, $\mathcal{Y}(A)$ satisfying $\mathrm{ind}_*(A) = \mathrm{ind}_*(\mathcal{O}(A)) > \mathrm{ind}_*(\mathcal{Y}(A))$. Moreover $\mathcal{O}(A) = A_1 \times \cdots \times A_\rho$, where A_i are $*$PI-reduced, and $\mathrm{ind}_*(A_i) = \mathrm{ind}_*(\mathcal{O}(A))$ for all $i = 1, \dots, \rho$. There exists $\widehat{\mu} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $S_\mu(A) = S_\mu(\mathcal{O}(A)) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\rho} S_\mu(A_i) \subseteq \mathrm{Id}^*(\mathcal{Y}(A))$ holds for any $\mu \geq \widehat{\mu}$.*

Definition 9 *$\mathcal{O}(A)$ is called the senior part of A, $\mathcal{Y}(A)$ is called the junior part of A. The algebras A_i ($i = 1, \dots, \rho$) are called the senior components of A. $\widehat{\mu}(A)$ is the minimal $\widehat{\mu} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ satisfying the conditions of Lemma 11.*

We have the next relations between the Kemer index and the parameters of $*$PI-reduced algebras.

Lemma 12 *Given a $*$PI-reduced algebra A we have $\beta(A) = \mathrm{dims}_* A$. Any $*$-simple finite dimensional algebra C is $*$PI-reduced, and $\mathrm{ind}_*(C) = \mathrm{par}_*(C) = (t_1, t_2; 1)$.*

Proof. For a nilpotent algebra A the assertion of lemma is trivial. Assume that A is a non-nilpotent $*$PI-reduced algebra with $\mathrm{dims}_* A = (t_1, t_2)$. From Lemma 6 it follows that $\beta(A) \leq \mathrm{dims}_* A$. Thus it is enough to construct for any $\hat{s} \in \mathbb{N}$ a $*$-polynomial of the type $(t_1, t_2; 0; \hat{s})$ which is not an identity with involution of A.

We can assume that A has decomposition (2) specified by Lemmas 2, 4. Consider any $*$-simple component C_l $(l = 1, \dots, p)$, and take $\hat{s}$ sets of distinct symmetric variables $Y_{l,m} = \{y_{l,m,(i_l j_l)} \in Y | (i_l, j_l) \in \mathcal{I}_l\}$ corresponding to the symmetric basic elements $d^{(0)}_{l i_l j_l}$, and $\hat{s}$ sets of distinct skew-symmetric variables $Z_{l,m} = \{z_{l,m,(i_l j_l)} \in Z | (i_l, j_l) \in \mathcal{J}_l\}$ corresponding to the skew-symmetric basic elements $d^{(1)}_{l i_l j_l}$ (see (5)), where $m = 1, \dots, \hat{s}$. Consider also the set of ordinary variables (neither symmetric nor skew-symmetric) $X_l = \{x_{l,(i_l j_l, i'_l j'_l)} | 1 \le i_l, j_l, i'_l, j'_l \le k_l\} \subseteq X$. We say that the variable $x_{l,(j_1 i_2, j_2 i_1)}$ connects the variables $y_{l,m,(i_1 j_1)}$ and $y_{l,m,(i_2 j_2)}$ (or the variables $z_{l,m,(i_1 j_1)}$ and $z_{l,m,(i_2 j_2)}$).

Let l, m be fixed, we consider the $*$-monomial $w_{l,m}(Y_{l,m}, Z_{l,m}, X_l)$ that is the product of all variables $y_{l,m,(i_l j_l)}$, and all variables $z_{l,m,(i_l j_l)}$ connected by the variables $x_{l,(ij,i'j')}$, correspondingly $(l = 1, \dots, p, \quad m = 1, \dots, \hat{s})$. For example, if $C_l = (M_3(F), t)$ then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w_{l,m} = {} & y_{l,m,(11)} x_{l,(11,21)} y_{l,m,(12)} x_{l,(21,31)} y_{l,m,(13)} x_{l,(32,21)} y_{l,m,(22)} x_{l,(22,32)} y_{l,m,(23)} \\ & x_{l,(33,32)} y_{l,m,(33)} x_{l,(31,23)} z_{l,m,(12)} x_{l,(21,31)} z_{l,m,(13)} x_{l,(32,31)} z_{l,m,(23)}. \end{aligned}$$

The unit element of C_l can be decomposed as $\varepsilon_l = \sum_{s_l=1}^{k_l} E_{l s_l s_l}$ in cases (7), (8), and $\varepsilon_l = \sum_{s_l=1}^{k_l} (E_{l s_l s_l}, E_{l s_l s_l})$ in case (9). Let us denote also $e^{(l)}_{(i_l j_l, i'_l j'_l)} = E_{l i_l j_l}$ for all i'_l, j'_l for algebras C_l of the types (7), (8), or $e^{(l)}_{(i_l j_l, i'_l j'_l)} = (E_{l i_l j_l}, E_{l i'_l j'_l})$ for the algebra C_l of the form (9). Thus by Lemma 9, we can assume that A contains an element

$$e^{(1)}_{s_1 s_1} r_1 e^{(2)}_{s_2 s_2} \cdots e^{(p-1)}_{s_{p-1} s_{p-1}} r_{p-1} e^{(p)}_{s_p s_p} \neq 0, \tag{10}$$

where $r_l \in J$ are some radical elements, and $e^{(l)}_{i_l j_l} = e^{(l)}_{(i_l j_l, i_l j_l)}$.

Consider the $*$-monomial $W_l = x_{l,(s_l t_l, t'_l s_l)} \cdot \left(\prod_{m=1}^{\hat{s}} (x_{l,(t_l 1, 1 t'_l)} \cdot w_{l,m})\right) \cdot x'_{l,(t_l s_l, s_l t'_l)}$, where s_l are given by (10), and t_l, t'_l are chosen to connect the word $w_{l,m}$ with $w_{l,m+1}$. Let us denote $Y_{(m)} = \bigcup_{l=1}^{p} Y_{l,m}$, and $Z_{(m)} = \bigcup_{l=1}^{p} Z_{l,m}$. It is clear that $|Y_{(m)}| = t_1$, $|Z_{(m)}| = t_2$ for any $m = 1, \dots, \hat{s}$. Then the polynomial

$$f = \Big(\prod_{m=1}^{\hat{s}} \mathcal{A}_{Y_{(m)}} \mathcal{A}_{Z_{(m)}}\Big)(W_1 \tilde{x}_1 W_2 \tilde{x}_2 \cdots \tilde{x}_{p-1} W_p) \tag{11}$$

is alternating in $Y_m \subseteq Y$ and $Z_m \subseteq Z$ for all $m = 1, \dots, \hat{s}$. Here the variables $X_l \subseteq X$, $X'_l = \{x'_{l,(i_l j_l, i'_l j'_l)} | 1 \le i_l, j_l, i'_l, j'_l \le k_l\} \subseteq X$ and $\tilde{x}_l \in X$ are not $*$-homogeneous $(l = 1, \dots, p)$. Consider the substitution for f as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & y_{l,m,(i_l j_l)} = d^{(0)}_{l i_l j_l},\ ((i_l, j_l) \in \mathcal{I}_l); \qquad z_{l,m,(i_l j_l)} = d^{(1)}_{l i_l j_l},\ ((i_l, j_l) \in \mathcal{J}_l); \\ & x_{l,(i_l j_l, i'_l j'_l)} = e^{(l)}_{(i_l j_l, i'_l j'_l)},\ (1 \le i_l, j_l \le k_l); \qquad \tilde{x}_q = \varepsilon_q r_q \varepsilon_{q+1}; \\ & x'_{l,(i_l j_l, i'_l j'_l)} = (E_{l i_l j_l}, (-1)^{k_l} E_{l i'_l j'_l}) \ \text{ if } C_l = (M_{k_l}(F) \times M_{k_l}(F)^{op}, \bar{*}) \text{ (see (9))}, \\ & x'_{l,(i_l j_l, i'_l j'_l)} = e^{(l)}_{i_l j_l} \ \text{ otherwise, } \ (1 \le i_l, j_l, i'_l, j'_l \le k_l); \\ & l = 1, \dots, p; \quad q = 1, \dots, p-1; \quad m = 1, \dots, \hat{s}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The elements from the simple component C_l cannot be substituted for the variables of W_q, $l \neq q$, with a nonzero result. Therefore, the result of the substitution (12) applied to f coincides with the result of the same substitution applied to the polynomial

$$f' = \Big((\prod_{m=1}^{\hat{s}} \mathcal{A}_{Y_{1,m}} \mathcal{A}_{Z_{1,m}}) W_1 \Big) \tilde{x}_1 \cdots \tilde{x}_{p-1} \Big((\prod_{m=1}^{\hat{s}} \mathcal{A}_{Y_{p,m}} \mathcal{A}_{Z_{p,m}}) W_p \Big).$$

For any m the set $Y_{l,m}$ contains at most one variable $y_{l,m,(i_l j_l)}$ for the same pair $(i_l, j_l) \in \mathcal{I}_l$ (correspondingly, the set Z_m contains at most one variable $z_{l,m,(i_l j_l)}$ for the same pair $(i_l, j_l) \in \mathcal{J}_l$). Since we fix positions for (i_l, j_l) in the direct (and in the opposite if necessary) component of C_l uniquely by $x_{l,(i'_l j'_l, i''_l j''_l)} = e^{(l)}_{(i'_l j'_l, i''_l j''_l)}$ then the substitution (12) applied to the polynomial $f^{(l)} = (\prod_{m=1}^{\hat{s}} \mathcal{A}_{Y_{l,m}} \mathcal{A}_{Z_{l,m}}) W_l$ gives the result $2^{\xi_l} e^{(l)}_{s_l s_l}$, $\xi_l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Hence the result of the substitution (12) to the polynomial f gives the nonzero element (10) of A. Therefore, we obtain $f \notin \mathrm{Id}^*(A)$.

Consider the exchange (1) of variables $\bigcup_{l=1}^{p} \big(X_l \cup X'_l \cup \{\tilde{x}_l\} \big)$ by the sums of corresponding symmetric and skew-symmetric variables. Then at least one multihomogeneous component $\tilde{f} \in F\langle Y, Z \rangle$ of f is also not a $*$-identity of A. Recall that $\tilde{f}$ is alternating in $Y_{(m)}$, and $Z_{(m)}$ $(m = 1, \dots, \hat{s})$. Thus $\tilde{f}$ is the required polynomial.

Notice that the condition of $*$PI-reducibility of A is used in the proof only to find a non-zero element (10) in A. Thus in the case of a $*$-simple algebra A $(p = 1)$ this condition is not necessary. In this case we can take $e^{(1)}_{11}$ instead of (10), and we also obtain $\beta(A) = \dim_{s*} A$. A finite dimensional $*$-simple algebra is semisimple, $\mathrm{nd}(A) = 1$, and $\mathrm{cpar}_*(A) = (\dim_{s*} A; 1; 0)$. Taking into account that $\mathrm{ind}_*(A) \leq \mathrm{par}_*(A) = (\beta(A); 1)$ (Lemma 6), and $\gamma(A) > 0$ we obtain $\mathrm{ind}_*(A) = \mathrm{par}_*(A)$ for a $*$-simple algebra. By Lemma 6 the conditions $\dim J(A) = 0$, and $\mathrm{ind}_*(A) = \mathrm{par}_*(A)$ imply also that A is $*$PI-reduced. $\square$

Definition 10 *Assume that a finite dimensional algebra with involution A satisfies the claims of Lemma 4. An elementary substitution $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ of $*$-homogeneous elements of A (namely, $a_i \in D_0 \cup D_1 \cup U_0 \cup U_1 \subseteq A$ (5), (6)) is called incomplete if there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ such that*

$$\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \cap \big(C_j \oplus_{l=1}^{p+1} (\varepsilon_j J \varepsilon_l + \varepsilon_l J \varepsilon_j) \big) = \emptyset.$$

Otherwise, the substitution $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is called complete.

Definition 11 *An elementary substitution $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$ is called thin if it contains less than $\mathrm{nd}(A) - 1$ radical elements (not necessarily distinct).*

Definition 12 *We say that a multilinear $*$-polynomial $f(y_1, \dots, y_{n_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n_2}) \in F\langle Y, Z \rangle$ is exact for a finite dimensional $*$-algebra A if $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$ holds in A for any thin or incomplete substitution $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$ $(n = n_1 + n_2)$.*

Lemma 13 *If A is a $*$PI-reduced algebra then any multilinear polynomial of the type* $(\mathrm{dims}_* A; \mathrm{nd}(A) - 1; 0)$ *is exact for A.*

Proof. It is clear that a $*$PI-reduced algebra is either not semisimple or $*$-simple. For a $*$-simple finite dimensional algebra any multilinear $*$-polynomial can be assumed exact. A multilinear polynomial of type $(0, 0; \mathrm{nd}(A) - 1; 0)$ has the full degree greater or equal to $(\mathrm{nd}(A) - 1)$. Hence it is assumed to be exact for a nilpotent algebra A.

Suppose that A is neither nilpotent nor semisimple, and $f \in F\langle Y, Z\rangle$ is a multilinear $*$-polynomial of the type $(\mathrm{dims}_* A; \mathrm{nd}(A) - 1; 0)$. Under a thin substitution at least one of $\tilde{s} = \mathrm{nd}(A) - 1$ collections of τ_j-alternating variables of f will be completely replaced by semisimple elements. Since $\tau_j > \mathrm{dims}_* A$ for any $j = 1, \dots, \tilde{s}$ then the result of the substitution will be zero.

By Lemma 4, it is clear that $\dim_F C_l^+ > 0$ for any $l = 1, \dots, p$. Therefore, an incomplete substitution cannot contain all semisimple symmetric elements from D_0 (5). Taking into account the conditions $\tau_j > \mathrm{dims}_* A$, $j = 1, \dots, \tilde{s}$, we obtain that at least two variables of every collection of τ_j-alternating variables of f must be replaced by radical elements, otherwise the result of the substitution will be zero. Thus, the result of an incomplete substitution to the polynomial f is zero. $\square$

Lemma 14 *Any nonzero $*$PI-reduced algebra A has an exact polynomial, that is not a $*$-identity of A.*

Proof. For a nilpotent algebra A the assertion follows from Lemma 13. Suppose that A is a non-nilpotent algebra satisfying the claims of Lemma 4. Consider its subalgebras $A_i = (\prod_{\substack{1 \le j \le p \\ j \ne i}} C_j) \oplus J(A)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, p$. Take $q = \dim_F J(A)$, $s = \mathrm{nd}(A) - 1$. Then by Lemma 3 $\widetilde{A} = A_1 \times \cdots \times A_p \times \mathcal{R}_{q,s}(A)$ is a finite dimensional $*$-algebra satisfying $\mathrm{Id}^*(A) \subseteq \mathrm{Id}^*(\widetilde{A})$. Let $\{r_1, \dots, r_q\} \subseteq U_0 \cup U_1$ be a $*$-homogeneous basis of $J(A)$ of the form (6). Consider the map φ defined by $\varphi(y_j) = r_j$ if r_j is symmetric, $\varphi(z_j) = r_j$ if r_j is skew-symmetric (for all $i = 1, \dots, q$), and $\varphi(b) = b$ for any $b \in B$. Then φ can be extended to a surjective $*$-homomorphism $\varphi : B(Y_q, Z_q) \to A$. It follows that any multilinear polynomial $f \in \mathrm{Id}^*(\mathcal{R}_{q,s}(A))$ is turned into zero under any thin substitution. It is also clear that any incomplete substitution in a multilinear $*$-polynomial $f \in \mathrm{Id}^*(\times_{i=1}^{p} A_i)$ yields zero. Therefore, any multilinear polynomial $f \in \mathrm{Id}^*(\widetilde{A})$ is exact for A. Remark that $\mathrm{cpar}_*(A_i) < \mathrm{cpar}_*(A)$ $(1 \le i \le p)$, and $\mathrm{cpar}_*(\mathcal{R}_{q,s}(A)) < \mathrm{cpar}_*(A)$. Since A is a $*$PI-reduced algebra then $\mathrm{Id}^*(A) \subsetneqq \mathrm{Id}^*(\widetilde{A})$. Any multilinear polynomial f such that $f \in \mathrm{Id}^*(\widetilde{A})$, and $f \notin \mathrm{Id}^*(A)$ satisfies the assertion of the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 15 *Let A be a finite dimensional $*$-algebra, h an exact $*$-polynomial for A, and $\bar{a} \in A^n$ a complete substitution in h containing exactly $\tilde{s} = \mathrm{nd}(A) - 1$ radical elements. Then for any $\mu \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there exist a $*$-polynomial $h_\mu \in *T[h]$, and an elementary substitution $\bar{u}$ from the algebra A into h_μ such that:*

1. *$h_\mu(\mathcal{Z}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Z}_{\tilde{s}+\mu}, \mathcal{X})$ is τ_j-alternating in any set $\mathcal{Z}_j$ with $\tau_j > \beta = \dim s_* A$ for all $j = 1, \dots, \tilde{s}$, and is β-alternating in any $\mathcal{Z}_j$ for $j = \tilde{s}+1, \dots, \tilde{s}+\mu$ (all the sets $\mathcal{Z}_j$, $\mathcal{X} \subseteq (Y \cup Z)$ are disjoint),*
2. *$h_\mu(\bar{u}) = \alpha h(\bar{a})$ for some $\alpha \in F$, $\alpha \neq 0$,*
3. *all variables from $\mathcal{X}$ are replaced by semisimple elements.*

Proof. If $h(\bar{a}) = 0$ then the assertion of lemma is trivial. It is sufficient to take any consequence $h_\mu(\mathcal{Z}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Z}_{\tilde{s}+\mu}) \in *T[h]$ that is alternating in $\mathcal{Z}_j$ as required (we assume here that $\mathcal{X} = \emptyset$), and replace the variables of the alternating set Z_j by equal elements. Particularly, from conditions $\mathrm{nd}(A) = 1,\ p \geq 2$ it follows that $h(\bar{a}) = 0$.

Assume that $h(\bar{a}) \neq 0$. Let us consider the case $\mathrm{nd}(A) > 1,\ p \geq 2$ in decomposition (2) of the algebra A. We can suppose for simplicity that the substitution $\bar{a}$ has the form $\bar{a} = (r_{1,(l'_1,l''_1)}, \dots, r_{\tilde{s},(l'_{\tilde{s}},l''_{\tilde{s}})}, b_1, \dots, b_{n-\tilde{s}})$, where $1 \leq l'_s, l''_s \leq p+1$, $\{1, \dots, p\} \subseteq \{l'_s, l''_s \mid 1 \leq s \leq q\}$ for some $q \leq \tilde{s}$, $l'_s \neq l''_s$ for any $s = 1, \dots, q$. Here we have elements $b_1, \dots, b_{n-\tilde{s}} \in D_0 \cup D_1$ (5), and $r_{s,(l'_s,l''_s)} \in U_0 \cup U_1$ (6), where $r_{s,(l'_s,l''_s)} = (\varepsilon_{l'_s} r_s \varepsilon_{l''_s} + \varepsilon_{l''_s} r_s^* \varepsilon_{l'_s})/2$ if $r_{s,(l'_s,l''_s)}$ is a symmetric element of A, or $r_{s,(l'_s,l''_s)} = (\varepsilon_{l'_s} r_s \varepsilon_{l''_s} - \varepsilon_{l''_s} r_s^* \varepsilon_{l'_s})/2$ if $r_{s,(l'_s,l''_s)}$ is skew-symmetric ($s = 1, \dots, \tilde{s}$). Particularly, without lost of generality we can consider the substitution $\bar{a} = (r_{1,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})}, r_{2,(\mathbf{3},l''_2)}, \dots, r_{q,(l'_q,\mathbf{p})}, \dots, b_1, \dots, b_{n-\tilde{s}})$.

Let us take for any $l = 1, \dots, p$ the monomials $w_{l,m}(Y_{l,m}, Z_{l,m}, X_l)$ specified in Lemma 12, where $m = 1, \dots, \tilde{s}+\mu$. Construct the $*$-polynomial $\tilde{f}_l(Y_l, Z_l, \widetilde{X}_l) = \sum_{s_l=1}^{k_l} \big(x_{l,(s_l t_l, t'_l s_l)} \cdot \big(\prod_{m=1}^{\tilde{s}+\mu} (x_{l,(t_l 1, 1 t'_l)} \cdot w_{l,m})\big) \cdot x'_{l,(t_l s_l, s_l t'_l)}\big)$, where t_l, t'_l connect the words $w_{l,m}$ and $w_{l,m+1}$ like in Lemma 12, and $Y_l = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\tilde{s}+\mu} Y_{l,m}$, $Z_l = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\tilde{s}+\mu} Z_{l,m}$. Make the replacement $x_{l,(i_l j_l, i'_l j'_l)} = (\delta_1\, \tilde{y}_{l,(i_l j_l)} + \delta_2\, \tilde{z}_{l,(i_l j_l)} + \delta_3\, \tilde{y}_{l,(i'_l j'_l)} + \delta_4\, \tilde{z}_{l,(i'_l j'_l)})/2$, $x'_{l,(i_l j_l, i'_l j'_l)} = (\delta_5\, \tilde{y}'_{l,(i_l j_l)} + \delta_6\, \tilde{z}'_{l,(i_l j_l)} + \delta_7\, \tilde{y}'_{l,(i'_l j'_l)} + \delta_8\, \tilde{z}'_{l,(i'_l j'_l)})/2$ of the variables $x_{l,(i_l j_l, i'_l j'_l)}, x'_{l,(i_l j_l, i'_l j'_l)} \in \widetilde{X}_l$ by the combination of corresponding symmetric and skew-symmetric variables $\widetilde{Y}_l = \{\tilde{y}_{l,(i_l j_l)}, \tilde{y}'_{l,(i_l j_l)} \mid 1 \leq i_l, j_l \leq k_l\}$, $\widetilde{Z}_l = \{\tilde{z}_{l,(i_l j_l)}, \tilde{z}'_{l,(i_l j_l)} \mid 1 \leq i_l, j_l \leq k_l\}$ pairwise different and disjoint with $Y_l \cup Z_l$, $\delta_c \in \{0, 1, -1\}$ $(c = 1, \dots, 8)$. Let us denote by $f_l(Y_l, Z_l, \widetilde{Y}_l, \widetilde{Z}_l) = (\tilde{f}_l + \tilde{f}_l^*)/2 \in F\langle Y, Z \rangle$ the symmetric part of the $*$-polynomial $\tilde{f}_l$ after this replacement. Consider the polynomials

$$h'(\mathcal{Z}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Z}_{\tilde{s}+\mu}, \mathcal{X}) = h\Big(f_1 \circ f_2 \circ \zeta_1, f_3 \circ \zeta_2, \dots, f_p \circ \zeta_q, \zeta_{q+1}, \dots, \zeta_{\tilde{s}},\ \tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_{n-\tilde{s}}\Big);$$

$$\text{and} \qquad h_\mu(\mathcal{Z}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Z}_{\tilde{s}+\mu}, \mathcal{X}) = \Big(\prod_{m=1}^{\tilde{s}+\mu} (\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Z}_m^{(0)}} \cdot \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Z}_m^{(1)}})\Big) h'(\mathcal{Z}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Z}_{\tilde{s}+\mu}, \mathcal{X}).$$

Here $\mathcal{Z}_m = \bigcup_{l=1}^{p} (Y_{l,m} \cup Z_{l,m}) \cup \{\zeta_m\}$ if $m = 1, \dots, \tilde{s}$, and $\mathcal{Z}_m = \bigcup_{l=1}^{p} (Y_{l,m} \cup Z_{l,m})$ for $m = \tilde{s}+1, \dots, \tilde{s}+\mu$, $\mathcal{X} = \bigcup_{l=1}^{p} (\widetilde{Y}_l \cup \widetilde{Z}_l) \cup \{\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_{n-\tilde{s}}\}$. Here the variable ζ_s is symmetric if the element $r_{s,(l'_s,l''_s)}$ of the substitution $\bar{a}$ is symmetric, and ζ_s is skew-symmetric when $r_{s,(l'_s,l''_s)}$ is skew-symmetric. Similarly, a variable $\tilde{x}_i$ is symmetric or skew-symmetric according to the respective element b_i of the substitution $\bar{a}$. Notice that $\mathcal{Z}_m^{(0)}$ is a subset of all symmetric variables of $\mathcal{Z}_m$, and $\mathcal{Z}_m^{(1)}$ is a subset of all skew-symmetric variables of $\mathcal{Z}_m$. Particularly, $\mathcal{Z}_m^{(0)} = \bigcup_{l=1}^{p} Y_{l,m}$, $\mathcal{Z}_m^{(1)} = \bigcup_{l=1}^{p} Z_{l,m}$ for any $m = \tilde{s}+1, \dots, \tilde{s}+\mu$. Since any polynomial f_l is a symmetric element of the free algebra with involution then $f_l \circ \zeta_s$ is also symmetric (skew-symmetric) as soon as ζ_s is symmetric (skew-symmetric). Thus, the polynomial $h_\mu \in *T[h]$ is well defined.

It is clear that the polynomial h_μ is τ_j-alternating in $\mathcal{Z}_j$ with $\tau_j > \beta = \operatorname{dims}_* A$ for all $j = 1, \dots, \tilde{s}$, and is β-alternating in $\mathcal{Z}_j$ for $j = \tilde{s}+1, \dots, \tilde{s}+\mu$.

Consider the next substitution of the polynomial h_μ

$$\begin{aligned} &y_{l,m,(i_l j_l)} = d^{(0)}_{l i_l j_l},\ ((i_l, j_l) \in \mathcal{I}_l); \qquad z_{l,m,(i_l j_l)} = d^{(1)}_{l i_l j_l},\ ((i_l, j_l) \in \mathcal{J}_l);\\ &\zeta_s = a_s = r_{s,(l'_s, l''_s)}; \qquad\qquad \tilde{x}_{n'} = a_{n'+\tilde{s}} = b_{n'};\\ &l = 1, \dots, p; \quad m = 1, \dots, \tilde{s}+\mu; \quad 1 \le s \le \tilde{s}; \quad 1 \le n' \le n - \tilde{s}. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The elementary substitution of the variables of the sets $\widetilde{Y}_l$, $\widetilde{Z}_l$, and the coefficients $\delta_c \in \{0, 1, -1\}$ are chosen to guarantee $x_{l,(i_l j_l, i'_l j'_l)} = e^{(l)}_{(i_l j_l, i'_l j'_l)}$ $(1 \le i_l, j_l, i'_l, j'_l \le k_l$, $1 \le l \le p)$; and $x'_{l,(i_l j_l, i'_l j'_l)} = e^{(l)}_{i_l j_l}$ $(1 \le i_l, j_l, i'_l, j'_l \le k_l)$ if $C_l = (M_{k_l}(F), *)$ with $* \in \{t, s\}$ (7), (8), or $x'_{l,(i_l j_l, i'_l j'_l)} = (E_{l i_l j_l}, (-1)^{k_l} E_{l i'_l j'_l})$ if $C_l = (M_{k_l}(F) \times M_{k_l}(F)^{op}, \bar{*})$ (9).

Due to the substitution of the variables of $\widetilde{Y}_l \cup \widetilde{Z}_l$, the polynomial f_l can contain only elements of the simple component C_l or elements $r_{s,(l'_s, l''_s)}$ with $l'_s = l''_s = l$, otherwise, we get zero. The second case will give us a thin substitution to the polynomial h, thus, such summands are also zero. Therefore, the substitution (13) to the polynomial h_μ will give the same result as this substitution to the polynomial

$$h\Big(f'_1 \circ f'_2 \circ \zeta_1, f'_3 \circ \zeta_2, \dots, f'_p \circ \zeta_q, \zeta_{q+1}, \dots, \zeta_{\tilde{s}},\ \tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_{n-\tilde{s}}\Big),$$

where $f'_l = (\prod_{m=1}^{\tilde{s}+\mu} \mathcal{A}_{Y_{l,m}} \mathcal{A}_{Z_{l,m}}) f_l$. Similarly to arguments of Lemma 12, we can see that the result of our substitution to the polynomial $\tilde{f}'_l = (\prod_{m=1}^{\tilde{s}+\mu} \mathcal{A}_{Y_{l,m}} \mathcal{A}_{Z_{l,m}}) \tilde{f}_l$ is equal to $2^{\xi_l} \varepsilon_l$. Since ε_l is symmetric, and f'_l is the symmetric part of $\tilde{f}'_l$ then for f'_l our substitution also yields $2^{\xi_l} \varepsilon_l$, and for the polynomial h_μ it gives the result $\alpha h(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{1}} \circ \varepsilon_{\mathbf{2}} \circ r_{1,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})}, \varepsilon_{\mathbf{3}} \circ r_{2,(\mathbf{3}, l''_2)}, \dots, \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} \circ r_{q,(l'_q, \mathbf{p})}, \dots, b_1, \dots, b_{n-\tilde{s}}) = \alpha h(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ for $\alpha = 2^{\xi}$, $\xi = \sum_{l=1}^{p} \xi_l$. Therefore, h_μ is the desired polynomial. The substitution $\bar{u}$ is given by (13).

The case $p = 1$ is analogous to and simpler than the previous one. If in the case $p = 1$ we have $(\varepsilon_1/2) \circ h(a_1, \dots, a_n) = h(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ then we need to consider the substitution (13) to the polynomial

$$h_\mu = \Big(\prod_{m=1}^{\tilde{s}+\mu} (\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Z}_m^{(0)}} \cdot \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Z}_m^{(1)}})\Big) f_1 \circ h\Big(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{\tilde{s}},\ \tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_{n-\tilde{s}}\Big).$$

Otherwise the substitution $\bar{a}$ contains an element $r_{s,(1,2)}$, and the construction is similar to the previous case. In case $p = 0$ the algebra A is nilpotent, and we can assume that $h_\mu = h$, and $\bar{u} = \bar{a}$. $\square$

Lemma 16 *Let A be a $*$PI-reduced algebra then* $\mathrm{ind}_*(A) = \mathrm{par}_*(A)$. *If f is an exact polynomial for A, and $f \notin \mathrm{Id}^*(A)$ then $*T[f] \cap S_\mu(A) \neq \emptyset$ for any $\mu \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 14, A has an exact polynomial $\tilde{f}$ which is not a $*$-identity of A. Next, $\tilde{f}$ can be nonzero only for a complete substitution containing exactly $(\mathrm{nd}(A)-1)$ radical elements. Lemma 15 implies that $\tilde{f}$ has a nontrivial consequence $\widetilde{g} \notin \mathrm{Id}^*(A)$ of type $(\mathrm{dims}_* A; \mathrm{nd}(A)-1; \mu)$ for any $\mu \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Since by Lemma 12 we have $\beta(A) = \mathrm{dims}_* A$ then Definition 5 implies that $\gamma(A) > \mathrm{nd}(A) - 1$. Taking into account $\mathrm{ind}_*(A) \leq \mathrm{par}_*(A)$ we obtain $\gamma(A) = \mathrm{nd}(A)$.

Moreover, by Lemma 15 any exact for A polynomial f that is not a $*$-identity of A for any $\mu \in \mathbb{N}_0$ has a nontrivial consequence $g_\mu \in *T[f]$, where g_μ is μ-boundary polynomial for A. $\square$

Lemma 16 together with Lemma 13 immediately implies

Lemma 17 *Any multilinear μ-boundary polynomial for a $*$PI-reduced algebra A is exact for A.*

Lemma 18 *Given a $*$PI-reduced algebra A, and a nonnegative integer μ, let $S_{A,\mu}$ be any set of $*$-polynomials of type $(\beta(A); \gamma(A)-1; \mu)$. Then any multilinear $*$-polynomial $f \in *T[S_{A,\mu}] + \mathrm{Id}^*(A)$ is exact for A.*

Lemma 19 *Let Γ be a proper $*T$-ideal, and A a $*$PI-reduced algebra such that* $\mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma) = \mathrm{ind}_*(A)$. *Suppose that a $*$-polynomial f satisfies the conditions $f \notin \mathrm{Id}^*(A)$, and $f \in K_{\hat{\mu}}(\Gamma) + \mathrm{Id}^*(A)$ for some $\hat{\mu} \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then for any $\mu \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have that $*T[f] \cap S_\mu(A) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. The full linearization $\tilde{f} \in F\langle Y, Z\rangle$ of a some multihomogeneous component of f also satisfies $\tilde{f} \in K_{\hat{\mu}}(\Gamma) + \mathrm{Id}^*(A)$, $\tilde{f} \notin \mathrm{Id}^*(A)$. Then by Lemma 18, $\tilde{f}$ is exact for A. Now by Lemma 16 we obtain that $\emptyset \neq *T[\tilde{f}] \cap S_\mu(A) \subseteq *T[f] \cap S_\mu(A)$ for any $\mu \in \mathbb{N}_0$. $\square$

4 Representable algebras.

Let R be a commutative associative F-algebra with unit. Suppose that an F-algebra A with involution has a structure of R-algebra, and the involution of A is R-linear, i.e. $ra = ar$, $(ra)^* = ra^*$ for all $r \in R$, $a \in A$. Particularly, this happens if $R = F$ or if $R \subseteq Z(A) \cap A^+$, where $Z(A)$ is the center of A, and A^+ is its symmetric part.

Definition 13 *Any R-multilinear mapping $\mathfrak{f} : A^n \to R$ is called n-linear form on an R-algebra A with involution.*

We construct an analogue of the free algebra with forms for algebras with involution (see also [9], [13], [16]).

Let us fix a bilinear form $\mathfrak{f}_2$, and a linear form $\mathfrak{f}_1$. Denote by $\mathcal{S}$ the free associative commutative algebra with unit generated by all symbols $\mathfrak{f}_2(u_1, u_2)$, $\mathfrak{f}_1(u_3)$, where

$u_1, u_2, u_3 \in F\langle X^*\rangle$ are nonempty associative noncommutative $*$-monomials in X^* (or $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in F\langle Y, Z\rangle$). We say that $FS\langle X^*\rangle = F\langle X^*\rangle \otimes_F \mathcal{S}$ ($FS\langle Y, Z\rangle = F\langle Y, Z\rangle \otimes_F \mathcal{S}$) is the free $*$-algebra with forms (the free algebra with forms and involution). We assume that $(f \otimes s_1)s_2 = s_2(f \otimes s_1) = f \otimes (s_1 s_2)$ for all $f \in F\langle X^*\rangle,\ s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{S}$. The involution on $FS\langle X^*\rangle$ is induced from $F\langle X^*\rangle$ by $(f \otimes s_1)^* = f^* \otimes s_1$. The elements of $FS\langle X^*\rangle$ are called *$*$-polynomials with forms*. The elements of $\mathcal{S}$ are called *pure form $*$-polynomials*.

Next, $F\langle X^*\rangle \otimes_F 1$ will be identified with $F\langle X^*\rangle$. The symbol $\otimes$ will be usually omitted for $*$-polynomials with forms. The degrees (homogeneity, multilinearity, alternating etc., respectively) of $*$-polynomials with forms or pure form $*$-polynomials are defined similarly to the case of ordinary $*$-polynomials assuming that $\deg_x \mathfrak{f}_2(u_1, u_2) = \deg_x u_1 + \deg_x u_2,\ \ \deg_x \mathfrak{f}_1(u_1) = \deg_x u_1$ for any $x \in X$ ($x \in Y \cup Z$).

The $\mathcal{S}$-bilinear form $\mathfrak{f}_2 : FS\langle X^*\rangle^2 \to \mathcal{S}$ is defined on the $\mathcal{S}$-algebra $FS\langle X^*\rangle$ by $\mathfrak{f}_2(\sum_i u_i s_i, \sum_j u'_j s'_j) = \sum_{i,j} \mathfrak{f}_2(u_i, u'_j) s_i s'_j$, where $u_i, u'_j \in F\langle X^*\rangle$ are monomials in X^*, $s_i, s'_j \in \mathcal{S}$. The $\mathcal{S}$-linear form $\mathfrak{f}_1 : FS\langle X^*\rangle \to \mathcal{S}$ is defined on $FS\langle X^*\rangle$ by $\mathfrak{f}_1(\sum_i u_i s_i) = \sum_i \mathfrak{f}_1(u_i) s_i$, where $u_i \in F\langle X^*\rangle$ are monomials in X^*, $s_i \in \mathcal{S}$.

Let A be an R-algebra with involution and forms, $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in FS\langle X^*\rangle$ a $*$-polynomial with forms. Then A satisfies the $*$-identity with forms $f = 0$ if $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$ for any $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$. The ideal of $*$-identities with forms $\mathrm{SId}^*(A) = \{f \in FS\langle X^*\rangle |\ A \text{ satisfies } f = 0\}$ is an $\mathcal{S}$-ideal of $FS\langle X^*\rangle$ invariant with respect to involution and closed under all $*$-endomorphisms of the algebra $FS\langle X^*\rangle$ which preserve the forms. Observe that $\mathrm{SId}^*(A)$ has the property that $g_1 \cdot \mathfrak{f}_2(f, g_2), g_1 \cdot \mathfrak{f}_2(g_2, f), g_1 \cdot \mathfrak{f}_1(f) \in \mathrm{SId}^*(A)$ for any $g_1, g_2 \in FS\langle X^*\rangle$, $f \in \mathrm{SId}^*(A)$. Ideals of $FS\langle X^*\rangle$ with all mentioned properties are called $*$TS-ideals. Given a $*$TS-ideal $\widetilde{\Gamma}$, and given polynomials with forms $f, g \in FS\langle X^*\rangle$ the notation $f = g \pmod{\widetilde{\Gamma}}$ means that $f - g \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$. Denote by $*TS[\mathcal{V}]$ the $*$TS-ideal generated by a set $\mathcal{V} \subseteq FS\langle X^*\rangle$.

Let $\widetilde{\Gamma} \trianglelefteq FS\langle X^*\rangle$ be a $*$TS-ideal of $FS\langle X^*\rangle$. Denote by $I = \mathrm{Span}_F\{\mathfrak{f}_2(f, u)v,\ \mathfrak{f}_2(u, f)v,\ \mathfrak{f}_1(f)v | f \in \widetilde{\Gamma}, u \in F\langle X^*\rangle, v \in \mathcal{S}\} \trianglelefteq \mathcal{S}$ the ideal of $\mathcal{S}$ generated by all elements of the forms $\mathfrak{f}_2(f, u)$, $\mathfrak{f}_2(u, f)$, $\mathfrak{f}_1(f)$, $\ f \in \widetilde{\Gamma},\ \ u \in F\langle X^*\rangle$. Let $\bar{\mathcal{S}} = \mathcal{S}/I$ be the quotient algebra. The quotient algebra with involution $\overline{FS}\langle X^*\rangle = FS\langle X^*\rangle/\widetilde{\Gamma}$ ($\overline{FS}\langle Y, Z\rangle = FS\langle Y, Z\rangle/\widetilde{\Gamma}$) has the structure of a $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$-algebra. The $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$-bilinear function $\mathfrak{f}_2 : (FS\langle X^*\rangle/\widetilde{\Gamma})^2 \to \bar{\mathcal{S}}$ is naturally defined by $\mathfrak{f}_2(a_1 + \widetilde{\Gamma}, a_2 + \widetilde{\Gamma}) = \mathfrak{f}_2(a_1, a_2) + I$, and the $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$-linear function $\mathfrak{f}_1 : (FS\langle X^*\rangle/\widetilde{\Gamma}) \to \bar{\mathcal{S}}$ is defined by $\mathfrak{f}_1(a_1 + \widetilde{\Gamma}) = \mathfrak{f}_1(a_1) + I$, $a_1, a_2 \in FS\langle X^*\rangle$.

The ideal of $*$-identities with forms of $\overline{FS}\langle X^*\rangle$ coincides with $\widetilde{\Gamma}$. Moreover, $FS\langle X^*\rangle/\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is the relatively free $*$-algebra with forms for the $*$TS-ideal $\widetilde{\Gamma}$.

We also can consider the free associative $*$-algebra with forms $FS\langle X^*_\nu\rangle$ and the relatively free $*$-algebra with forms $FS\langle X^*_\nu\rangle/(\widetilde{\Gamma} \cap FS\langle X^*_\nu\rangle)$ of a finite rank $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let us take a finite dimensional F-algebra with involution $A = B \oplus J$ with the Jacobson radical $J = J(A)$, and the semisimple part B. Consider for any element

$b \in B$ the linear operator $\mathfrak{T}_b : B \to B$ on the $*$-subalgebra B defined by

$$\mathfrak{T}_b(c) = b \circ c, \quad c \in B. \tag{14}$$

It is clear that $\mathfrak{T}_{\alpha_1 b_1 + \alpha_2 b_2} = \alpha_1 \mathfrak{T}_{b_1} + \alpha_2 \mathfrak{T}_{b_2}$ for all $\alpha_i \in F,\ b_i \in B$. If b is a symmetric element with respect to involution then the subspaces B^+, B^- are stable under $\mathfrak{T}_b$. If b is skew-symmetric then $\mathfrak{T}_b(B^+) \subseteq B^-$, and $\mathfrak{T}_b(B^-) \subseteq B^+$. Particularly, the trace of the operator $\mathfrak{T}_b$ is zero for any skew-symmetric element $b \in B^-$.

Then the bilinear form $\mathfrak{f}_2 : A^2 \to F$, and the linear form $\mathfrak{f}_1 : A \to F$ are naturally defined on A by the rules

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathfrak{f}_2(a_1, a_2) = \mathfrak{f}_2(b_1, b_2) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathfrak{T}_{b_1} \cdot \mathfrak{T}_{b_2}), \\ &\mathfrak{f}_1(a_1) = \mathfrak{f}_1(b_1) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathfrak{T}_{b_1}), \quad a_i = b_i + r_i \in A,\ b_i \in B,\ r \in J, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where $\mathfrak{T}_1 \cdot \mathfrak{T}_2$ is the product of linear operators, and Tr is the usual trace. It is clear that $\mathfrak{f}_2$ is symmetric form satisfying $\mathfrak{f}_2(r, a) = 0$ for any $r \in J,\ \ a \in A$, and $\mathfrak{f}_2(a_1, a_2) = 0$ for any $a_1 \in A^-,\ \ a_2 \in A^+$. The linear form $\mathfrak{f}_1$ also satisfies $\mathfrak{f}_1(r) = 0$ for any $r \in J,\ \ \mathfrak{f}_1(a) = 0$ for any $a \in A^-$. Particularly, the next lemma holds.

Lemma 20 *A finite dimensional $*$-algebra A with the forms (15) over a field F satisfies $*$-identities with forms*

$$\mathfrak{f}_2(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) \cdot f = 0, \qquad \mathfrak{f}_2(\tilde{z}, \tilde{y}) \cdot f = 0, \qquad \mathfrak{f}_1(\tilde{z}) \cdot f = 0,$$

where $f \in FS\langle Y, Z\rangle$ is any form $*$-polynomial, $\ \tilde{y} \in Y,\ \ \tilde{z} \in Z$.

Lemma 21 *Given a finite dimensional $*$-algebra A with the forms (15) over a field F, and a $*$-polynomial $f \in F\langle Y, Z\rangle$ of type $(\mathrm{dims}_* A, \mathrm{nd}(A) - 1, 1)$ suppose that $\{y_1, \dots, y_{t_1}\} \subseteq Y$ is the symmetric part, and $\{z_1, \dots, z_{t_2}\} \subseteq Z$ the skew-symmetric part of the set in which f is $(\mathrm{dims}_* A)$-alternating ($t_1 = \dim B^+,\ \ t_2 = \dim B^-$). Then A satisfies the $*$-identities with forms*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathfrak{f}_2(\tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2) f = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{t_1} f|_{y_i := \tilde{y}_1 \circ (\tilde{y}_2 \circ y_i)} + \sum_{i=1}^{t_2} f|_{z_i := \tilde{y}_1 \circ (\tilde{y}_2 \circ z_i)}, \quad \tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2 \in Y, \\ &\mathfrak{f}_2(\tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2) f = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{t_1} f|_{y_i := \tilde{z}_1 \circ (\tilde{z}_2 \circ y_i)} + \sum_{i=1}^{t_2} f|_{z_i := \tilde{z}_1 \circ (\tilde{z}_2 \circ z_i)}, \quad \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2 \in Z, \\ &\mathfrak{f}_1(\tilde{y}_1) f = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{t_1} f|_{y_i := \tilde{y}_1 \circ y_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{t_2} f|_{z_i := \tilde{y}_1 \circ z_i}, \quad \tilde{y} \in Y. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The $*$-polynomials with forms $g_1 = \mathfrak{f}_2(\tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2) f - \sum_{i=1}^{t_1} f|_{y_i := \tilde{y}_1 \circ (\tilde{y}_2 \circ y_i)} - \sum_{i=1}^{t_2} f|_{z_i := \tilde{y}_1 \circ (\tilde{y}_2 \circ z_i)}$, $\ g_2 = \mathfrak{f}_2(\tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2) f - \sum_{i=1}^{t_1} f|_{y_i := \tilde{z}_1 \circ (\tilde{z}_2 \circ y_i)} - \sum_{i=1}^{t_2} f|_{z_i := \tilde{z}_1 \circ (\tilde{z}_2 \circ z_i)}$, $g_3 = \mathfrak{f}_1(\tilde{y}_1) f - \sum_{i=1}^{t_1} f|_{y_i := \tilde{y}_1 \circ y_i} - \sum_{i=1}^{t_2} f|_{z_i := \tilde{y}_1 \circ z_i}$ are τ_j-alternating in $\mathcal{Z}_j \subseteq Y \cup Z$ with $\tau_j > \mathrm{dims}_* A$ for any $j = 1, \dots, \mathrm{nd}(A) - 1$. It is enough to consider an elementary replacement of the variables $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\mathrm{nd}(A)-1} \mathcal{Z}_j \cup \{\tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2\} \cup \{y_1, \dots, y_{t_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{t_2}\}$ of g_1, g_2, g_3. It is clear that at least one variable of any set $\mathcal{Z}_j$ should be replaced by a radical element, otherwise the result is zero. Hence, the variables $y_1, \dots, y_{t_1}$, $z_1, \dots, z_{t_2}$, and $\tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2$ (or $\tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2$) must be replaced by semisimple elements only, and the sets $\{y_1, \dots, y_{t_1}\}$, $\{z_1, \dots, z_{t_2}\}$ must be replaced by pairwise distinct elements of the basis D_0, and D_1 (5) respectively, otherwise g_i also yields zero.

Suppose that a polynomial $\tilde{f}(x_1, \dots, x_{\tilde{t}}, \dots)$ is alternating in a $*$-homogeneous set of variables $x_1, \dots, x_{\tilde{t}} \subset Y$ (or from Z), and $\widetilde{B} \subseteq A$ is a $*$-homogeneous subspace of A of symmetric (or skew-symmetric) elements with $\dim \widetilde{B} = \tilde{t}$. It can be directly checked (see also [5], Theorem J) that for an arbitrary linear operator $\mathfrak{T} : \widetilde{B} \to \widetilde{B}$, and for all pairwise distinct basic elements $b_1, \dots, b_{\tilde{t}}$ of $\widetilde{B}$ the equality $\mathrm{Tr}(\mathfrak{T}) f(b_1, \dots, b_{\tilde{t}}, \dots) = f(\mathfrak{T}(b_1), \dots, b_{\tilde{t}}, \dots) + \dots + f(b_1, \dots, \mathfrak{T}(b_{\tilde{t}}), \dots)$ holds in A, the replacement of other variables being arbitrary.

The subspaces B^+, and B^- are closed under the actions of $\mathfrak{T}_{\tilde{b}_1}$ for any $\tilde{b}_1 \in B^+$, and $\mathfrak{T}_{\tilde{b}_1}\mathfrak{T}_{\tilde{b}_2}$ for any $\tilde{b}_1, \tilde{b}_2 \in B^+$ (or $\tilde{b}_1, \tilde{b}_2 \in B^-$). Particularly, $\mathrm{Tr}(\mathfrak{T}_{\tilde{b}_1}) = \mathrm{Tr}((\mathfrak{T}_{\tilde{b}_1})^+) + \mathrm{Tr}((\mathfrak{T}_{\tilde{b}_1})^-)$, and $\mathrm{Tr}(\mathfrak{T}_{\tilde{b}_1}\mathfrak{T}_{\tilde{b}_2}) = \mathrm{Tr}((\mathfrak{T}_{\tilde{b}_1}\mathfrak{T}_{\tilde{b}_2})^+) + \mathrm{Tr}((\mathfrak{T}_{\tilde{b}_1}\mathfrak{T}_{\tilde{b}_2})^-)$, where $(\mathfrak{T})^\delta$ is the restriction of the operator $\mathfrak{T}$ on B^δ, $\delta \in \{+, -\}$. The application of the remark above to the linear operators $(\mathfrak{T}_{\tilde{b}_1})^+$, $(\mathfrak{T}_{\tilde{b}_1})^-$, $(\mathfrak{T}_{\tilde{b}_1}\mathfrak{T}_{\tilde{b}_2})^+$, and $(\mathfrak{T}_{\tilde{b}_1}\mathfrak{T}_{\tilde{b}_2})^-$ completes the proof. □

Lemma 22 *Let $f(\widetilde{x}_1, \dots, \widetilde{x}_k) \in F\langle Y, Z\rangle$ be a $*$-polynomial of a type $(\beta; \gamma-1; 1)$ (for some $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$), and $s(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_d) \in \mathcal{S}$ ($\{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_d\} \subseteq Y \cup Z$) be a pure form $*$-polynomial. Then there exists a $*$-polynomial $g_s(\widetilde{x}_1, \dots, \widetilde{x}_k, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_d) \in *T[f]$ such that any finite dimensional $*$-algebra A with forms (15) having parameter $\mathrm{par}_*(A) = (\beta; \gamma)$ satisfies the $*$-identity with forms*

$$s(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_d) \cdot f(\widetilde{x}_1, \dots, \widetilde{x}_k) - g_s(\widetilde{x}_1, \dots, \widetilde{x}_k, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_d) = 0.$$

Proof. Assume that f is $(\mathrm{dims}_* A)$-alternating in $\{\widetilde{x}_1, \dots, \widetilde{x}_t\}$, $t = t_1 + t_2$. Let us take for any $i = 1, \dots, t_1$ a right normed jordan monomial w_i of the algebra $(F\langle Y, Z\rangle^+, +, \circ)$ of type $w_i = \zeta'_{i1} \circ (\zeta'_{i2} \circ (\dots (\zeta'_{im_{i-1}} \circ \zeta'_{im_i})))$ having even total degree in variables from Z, $\zeta'_{ij} \in Y \cup Z$, $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider also for indices $i = t_1+1, \dots, t_1+t_2$ arbitrary right normed jordan monomials $w_i \in F\langle Y, Z\rangle^-$ in $Y \cup Z$ of odd total degree in Z. Then from Lemma 21 it follows that the algebra A satisfies form $*$-identities

$$\begin{gathered}\mathfrak{f}_2(\tilde{\zeta}_1, \tilde{\zeta}_2) f(w_1, \dots, w_t, \widetilde{X}) - (f(\tilde{\zeta}_1 \circ (\tilde{\zeta}_2 \circ w_1), \dots, w_t, \widetilde{X}) + \\ \dots + f(w_1, \dots, \tilde{\zeta}_1 \circ (\tilde{\zeta}_2 \circ w_t), \widetilde{X})) = 0, \\ \mathfrak{f}_1(\tilde{y}) f(w_1, \dots, w_t, \widetilde{X}) - (f(\tilde{y} \circ w_1, \dots, w_t, \widetilde{X}) + \dots + f(w_1, \dots, \tilde{y} \circ w_t, \widetilde{X})) = 0,\end{gathered}$$

where $\tilde{\zeta}_1, \tilde{\zeta}_2 \in Y$ or $\tilde{\zeta}_1, \tilde{\zeta}_2 \in Z$, and $\tilde{y} \in Y$.

By induction on the total number $n = n_1 + n_2$ of forms $\mathfrak{f}_i$ we obtain the next equality $\mathfrak{f}_2(\tilde{\zeta}_1, \tilde{\zeta}_2) \cdots \mathfrak{f}_2(\tilde{\zeta}_{2n_2-1}, \tilde{\zeta}_{2n_2}) \mathfrak{f}_1(\tilde{\zeta}_{2n_2+1}) \cdots \mathfrak{f}_1(\tilde{\zeta}_{2n_2+n_1}) f(w_1, \dots, w_t, \widetilde{X}) = \sum_{l=1}^{\widetilde{n}} f(\widetilde{w}_{l1}, \dots, \widetilde{w}_{lt}, \widetilde{X})$ (mod $\mathrm{SId}^*(A)$), where w_i, $\widetilde{w}_{li}$ are right normed jordan symmetric or skew-symmetric monomials, and $\tilde{\zeta}_j \in Y \cup Z$ are the corresponding symmetric or skew-symmetric variables. Hence, the equality $\mathfrak{f}_2(u_1, u_2) \cdots \mathfrak{f}_2(u_{2n_2-1}, u_{2n_2}) \times \mathfrak{f}_1(u_{2n_2+1}) \cdots \mathfrak{f}_1(u_{2n_2+n_1}) f(\widetilde{x}_1, \dots, \widetilde{x}_k) = g_{(u)}(\widetilde{x}_1, \dots, \widetilde{x}_k, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_d)$ (mod $\mathrm{SId}^*(A)$) holds for all $*$-homogeneous elements $u_{2i-1}, u_{2i} \in F\langle Y, Z\rangle^\delta$, $\delta \in \{+, -\}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n_2$), $u_i \in F\langle Y, Z\rangle^+$ ($i = 2n_2+1, \dots, 2n_2+n_1$), where $g_{(u)} \in *T[f]$ is some $*$-polynomial without forms. Observe that the structure of $g_{(u)}$ depends only on the polynomials u_i, and $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_d$ are the variables of the polynomial $u_1 \cdots u_{2n_2+n_1}$.

Any pure form polynomial with involution $s \in \mathcal{S}$ can be written in the form $s(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_d) = \sum_{(j)} \alpha_{(j)} \mathfrak{f}_2(u_{j_1}, u_{j_2}) \cdots \mathfrak{f}_2(u_{j_{2n_2-1}}, u_{j_{2n_2}}) \mathfrak{f}_1(u_{j_{2n_2+1}}) \cdots \mathfrak{f}_1(u_{j_{2n_2+n_1}})$, where u_{j_l} are $*$-homogeneous elements of $F\langle Y, Z\rangle$ (symmetric or skew-symmetric), and $\alpha_{(j)} \in F$. Taking into account Lemma 20 and arguments above we obtain that A satisfies the form $*$-identity $s(\zeta_1,\dots,\zeta_d)\cdot f(\widetilde{x}_1,\dots,\widetilde{x}_k)-g_s(\widetilde{x}_1,\dots,\widetilde{x}_k,\zeta_1,\dots,\zeta_d)=0$, where $g_s \in *T[f]$ is a $*$-polynomial which does not depend on A. $\square$

Let A be a finite dimensional $*$-algebra over a field F satisfying the claims of Lemma 4. Assume that B is its semisimple part, and J is the Jacobson radical. Then denote $\dim B^+ = t_1$, $\dim B^- = t_2$, $\dim J^+ = q_1$, $\dim J^- = q_2$. We take the set $\Lambda_\nu = \{\lambda_{\theta ij} | \theta = 1,2; 1 \le i \le \nu; 1 \le j \le t_\theta + q_\theta\}$ for any positive integer ν. Consider the free commutative associative algebra with unit $F[\Lambda_\nu]^\#$ generated by Λ_ν, and the associative algebra $\mathcal{P}_\nu(A) = F[\Lambda_\nu]^\# \otimes_F A$. The algebra $\mathcal{P}_\nu(A)$ has the structure of a $F[\Lambda_\nu]^\#$-module defined by $a\cdot f = f\cdot a = f\cdot(\sum_i f_i \otimes a_i) = \sum_i (ff_i)\otimes a_i$, for any $f, f_i \in F[\Lambda_\nu]^\#$, $a_i \in A$, $a = \sum_i f_i \otimes a_i \in \mathcal{P}_\nu(A)$. The involution on $\mathcal{P}_\nu(A)$ is naturally induced from A by $(f\otimes a)^* = f \otimes a^*$, $f \in F[\Lambda_\nu]^\#$, $a \in A$.

We define the $F[\Lambda_\nu]^\#$-bilinear map $\mathfrak{f}_2 : \mathcal{P}_\nu(A)^2 \to F[\Lambda_\nu]^\#$, and the $F[\Lambda_\nu]^\#$-linear map $\mathfrak{f}_1 : \mathcal{P}_\nu(A) \to F[\Lambda_\nu]^\#$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{f}_2(a,a') &= \mathfrak{f}_2(\sum_i f_i \otimes a_i, \sum_j f'_j \otimes a'_j) = \sum_{i,j} f_i f'_j\ \mathfrak{f}_2(a_i,a'_j), \\ \mathfrak{f}_1(a) &= \mathfrak{f}_1(\sum_i f_i \otimes a_i) = \sum_i f_i\ \mathfrak{f}_1(a_i). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

They are well defined bilinear and linear forms on $\mathcal{P}_\nu(A)$, respectively. Here $a_i, a'_j \in A$, $f_i, f'_j \in F[\Lambda_\nu]^\#$, and $\mathfrak{f}_2(a_i,a'_j)$, $\mathfrak{f}_1(a_i)$ are the forms (15) defined on A.

We call by *a Cayley-Hamilton type $*$-polynomial* a degree homogeneous $*$-polynomial with forms of the following type

$$x^n + \sum_{\substack{i_0+i_1+\cdots+j_{k_2}=n,\\ 0<i_0<n,\ 1\le k_2+k_1}} \alpha_{(i),(j)}\ \ x^{i_0}\mathfrak{f}_2(x^{i_1},x^{j_1})\cdots\mathfrak{f}_2(x^{i_{k_2}},x^{j_{k_2}})\mathfrak{f}_1(x^{i_{k_2+1}})\cdots\mathfrak{f}_1(x^{i_{k_2+k_1}}),$$

where $\alpha_{(i),(j)} \in F$. Note that here $i_l, j_l > 0$ $(l \ge 0)$.

For example, it is well known ([12], [13]) that the full matrix algebra $M_n(F)$ satisfies the Cayley-Hamilton identity with trace $\mathcal{X}_n(x)=0$, which is defined recurrently by the formulas $\mathcal{X}_1(x) = x - \mathrm{Tr}(x)$, $\mathcal{X}_n(x) = \mathcal{X}_{n-1}(x)\cdot x - \frac{1}{n}\cdot\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{X}_{n-1}(x)\cdot x)$, where Tr is the usual trace of matrix. Hence, $M_n(F)$ with any involution and with forms $\mathfrak{f}_2(a,b) = \mathrm{Tr}(a\cdot b)$, $\mathfrak{f}_1(a) = \mathrm{Tr}(a)$ $(a,b \in M_n(F))$ satisfies the Cayley-Hamilton type $*$-identity with forms $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}_n}(x)\cdot x = 0$, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}_n}(x)$ is the $*$-polynomial with forms obtained from $\mathcal{X}_n(x)$ by exchange $\mathrm{Tr}(x^s) = \mathfrak{f}_1(x^s)$, $s \ge 1$.

Lemma 23 *$\mathcal{P}_\nu(A)$ satisfies a Cayley-Hamilton type $*$-identity $\mathcal{K}_{3t+1}^{\mathrm{nd}(A)}(x) = 0$ for some Cayley-Hamilton type $*$-polynomial $\mathcal{K}_{3t+1}(x)$ of degree $3t+1$, $t = t_1 + t_2$.*

Proof. Let us consider the semisimple part B of A with operation $\circ$. Then B is a finite dimensional Jordan algebra, $\dim B = t = t_1 + t_2$. It is well known that this Jordan algebra satisfies the next relation

$$\mathfrak{T}_{b^n} = \sum_{0\le k\le n/2} \alpha_k\ \mathfrak{T}^k_{b^2}\cdot\mathfrak{T}^{n-2k}_b, \quad b \in B, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{17}$$

where the operators were defined by (14), numbers $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{Q}$ are fixed, that do not depend on b. It is clear that both of the operators $\mathfrak{T}_{b^2}$, and $\mathfrak{T}_b$ satisfy the Cayley-Hamilton identity with trace of degree t. If $n = 3t$ then either $k \geq t$ or $n - 2k \geq t$. Therefore, either $\mathfrak{T}_{b^2}^k$ or $\mathfrak{T}_b^{n-2k}$ is expressed via the powers of lesser degrees and their traces. Thus, by applying the operator $\mathfrak{T}_{b^{3t}}$ to the element b, we obtain

$$b^{3t+1} = \sum_{\substack{m+2(i_1+\cdots+i_{k'})+ \\ j_1+\cdots+j_{k''}=3t+1, \\ 1\leq m<3t+1,\ 1\leq k'+k''}} \alpha'_{m,(i),(j)}\ b^m\ \mathrm{Tr}(\mathfrak{T}_{b^2}^{i_1}) \cdots \mathrm{Tr}(\mathfrak{T}_{b^2}^{i_{k'}}) \mathrm{Tr}(\mathfrak{T}_b^{j_1}) \cdots \mathrm{Tr}(\mathfrak{T}_b^{j_{k''}}) \quad (18)$$

for any $b \in B$, where the coefficients $\alpha'_{m,(i),(j)} \in \mathbb{Q}$ do not depend on b. It can be directly checked also that for any $s \geq 1$ we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathfrak{T}_c^s) = \alpha''_{s,0}\ \ \mathfrak{f}_1(c^s)\ +\sum_{1\leq l\leq s/2} \alpha''_{s,l}\ \ \mathfrak{f}_2(c^l, c^{s-l})$$

for some rational coefficients $\alpha''_{s,l} \in \mathbb{Q}$, that do not depend on c, and for any $c \in B$. Particularly, this is true for $c = b$, and $c = b^2$, $b \in B$. Thus, it follows from (18) that the associative $*$-algebra B with forms (15) satisfies the Cayley-Hamilton type $*$-identity with forms $\mathcal{K}_{3t+1}(x) = 0$ of degree $3t + 1$.

Since $A = B \oplus J$, J is nilpotent of class $\mathrm{nd}(A)$, and the forms $\mathfrak{f}_2$, $\mathfrak{f}_1$ can be non-zero only on semisimple elements, we conclude that A satisfies $\mathcal{K}_{3t+1}^{\mathrm{nd}(A)}(x) = 0$. Next, $F[\Lambda_\nu]^\#$ is commutative non-nilpotent algebra, the involution of $\mathcal{P}_\nu(A)$ is $F[\Lambda_\nu]^\#$-linear, and the forms (16) are $F[\Lambda_\nu]^\#$-multilinear. Therefore it is clear that $\mathcal{P}_\nu(A) = F[\Lambda_\nu]^\# \otimes_F A$ also satisfies $\mathcal{K}_{3t+1}^{\mathrm{nd}(A)}(x) = 0$. □

Let $\{\hat{b}_{11}, \ldots, \hat{b}_{1t_1}\}$ be a basis of the symmetric part B^+ of the semisimple part B of A, $\dim B^+ = t_1$, and $\{\hat{b}_{21}, \ldots, \hat{b}_{2t_2}\}$ a basis of the skew-symmetric part B^- of B, $\dim B^- = t_2$, $t = t_1 + t_2$. Also let $\{\hat{r}_{11}, \ldots, \hat{r}_{1q_1}\}$ be a basis of the symmetric part J^+ of the Jacobson radical $J = J(A)$, $\dim J^+ = q_1$, and $\{\hat{r}_{21}, \ldots, \hat{r}_{2q_2}\}$ a basis of the skew-symmetric part J^- of $J(A)$, correspondingly, $\dim J^- = q_2$. Recall that all these bases can be chosen belonging to the set $D_0 \cup D_1 \cup U_0 \cup U_1$ ((5), (6), Lemma 4). Take the elements

$$\mathfrak{y}_{\theta i} = \sum_{j=1}^{t_\theta} \lambda_{\theta ij} \otimes \hat{b}_{\theta j} + \sum_{j=1}^{q_\theta} \lambda_{\theta ij+t_\theta} \otimes \hat{r}_{\theta j}\ \in \mathcal{P}_\nu(A), \qquad \theta = 1, 2, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \nu. \quad (19)$$

All $\mathfrak{y}_{\theta i}$ are $*$-homogeneous (symmetric for $\theta = 1$, and skew-symmetric for $\theta = 2$). Consider for any positive integer ν the F-subalgebra $\mathcal{F}_\nu(A) = \langle \mathfrak{y}_{\theta i} | \theta = 1, 2;\ 1 \leq i \leq \nu \rangle$ of $\mathcal{P}_\nu(A)$ generated by $\mathfrak{Y}_\nu^* = \{\mathfrak{y}_{\theta i} | \theta = 1, 2;\ 1 \leq i \leq \nu\}$. Then $\mathcal{F}_\nu(A)$ is $*$-invariant. Any map φ of the generators to arbitrary $*$-homogeneous elements $\widetilde{a}_{\theta i} \in A$ $(\widetilde{a}_{1i} \in A^+,\ \widetilde{a}_{2i} \in A^-,\ \widetilde{\alpha}_{\theta ij} \in F)$

$$\varphi : \mathfrak{y}_{\theta i} \mapsto \widetilde{a}_{\theta i} = \sum_{j=1}^{t_\theta} \widetilde{\alpha}_{\theta ij} \hat{b}_{\theta j} + \sum_{j=1}^{q_\theta} \widetilde{\alpha}_{\theta ij+t_\theta} \hat{r}_{\theta j} \quad (\theta = 1, 2; \quad i = 1, \ldots, \nu) \qquad (20)$$

can be extended to the $*$-homomorphism of F-algebras $\varphi : \mathcal{F}_\nu(A) \to A$, also inducing the $*$-homomorphism $\widetilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{P}_\nu(A) \to A$ defined by the following equalities

$$\widetilde{\varphi}((\lambda_{\theta_1 i_1 j_1} \cdots \lambda_{\theta_k i_k j_k}) \otimes a) = (\widetilde{\alpha}_{\theta_1 i_1 j_1} \cdots \widetilde{\alpha}_{\theta_k i_k j_k}) \cdot a \qquad \forall a \in A. \tag{21}$$

Observe that the $*$-homomorphism $\widetilde{\varphi}$ preserves the forms defined by (16) on $\mathcal{P}_\nu(A)$ and by (15) on A.

The elements of $\mathcal{F}_\nu(A)$ are called *quasi-polynomials in the variables* $\mathfrak{Y}^*_\nu$. Products of the generators $\mathfrak{y}_{\theta i} \in \mathfrak{Y}^*_\nu$ of the algebra $\mathcal{F}_\nu(A)$ are called *quasi-monomials.* We have also that $\mathrm{Id}^*(\mathcal{F}_\nu(A)) \supseteq \mathrm{Id}^*(\mathcal{P}_\nu(A)) = \mathrm{Id}^*(A)$ for any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$.

Recall that $\mathcal{F}_\nu(A)$ is a finitely generated PI-algebra. By Shirshov's height theorem [14] $\mathcal{F}_\nu(A)$ has a finite height and a finite Shirshov's basis. More precisely, there exist an integer $\mathcal{H}$, and elements $w_1, \dots, w_d \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(A)$ such that any element $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(A)$ has the form $u = \sum_{(i)=(i_1,\dots,i_k)} \alpha_{(i)}\ w_{i_1}^{c_1} \dots w_{i_k}^{c_k}$, where $k \le \mathcal{H}$, $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\},\ c_j \in \mathbb{N},\ \alpha_{(i)} \in F$.

Consider the polynomials $\hat{\mathfrak{s}}_{i,(l_1,l_2)} = \mathfrak{f}_2(w_i^{l_1}, w_i^{l_2}) \in F[\Lambda_\nu]^\#$ $(i = 1, \dots, d,\ l_1, l_2 = 1, \dots, 3t)$, and $\hat{\mathfrak{s}}_{i,l} = \mathfrak{f}_1(w_i^l) \in F[\Lambda_\nu]^\#$ $(i = 1, \dots, d,\ \ l = 1, \dots, 3t)$. Then $\widehat{F} = F[\hat{\mathfrak{s}}_{i,(l_1,l_2)}, \hat{\mathfrak{s}}_{il_1} \mid 1 \le i \le d;\ 1 \le l_1, l_2 \le 3t\ ]^\#$ is the associative commutative F-subalgebra of $F[\Lambda_\nu]^\#$ with unit generated by $\{\hat{\mathfrak{s}}_{i,(l_1,l_2)}, \hat{\mathfrak{s}}_{il}\}$, and by the unit of $F[\Lambda_\nu]^\#$.

Take the $*$-invariant $\widehat{F}$-subalgebra $\mathcal{T}_\nu(A) = \widehat{F}\mathcal{F}_\nu(A)$ of $\mathcal{P}_\nu(A)$. Then $\mathcal{F}_\nu(A)$ is a $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{T}_\nu(A)$. An arbitrary map of type (20) can be uniquely extended to a $*$-homomorphism from $\mathcal{T}_\nu(A)$ to A preserving the forms (it is the restriction of $\widetilde{\varphi}$ defined by (21) onto $\mathcal{T}_\nu(A)$). It follows from Lemma 23 that all elements w_i are algebraic of degree $\mathrm{nd}(A)(3t+1)$ over $\widehat{F}$. Therefore, by the Shirshov's height theorem, $\mathcal{T}_\nu(A)$ is a finitely generated $\widehat{F}$-module, where $\widehat{F}$ is Noetherian. By theorem of Beidar [4] the algebra $\mathcal{T}_\nu(A)$ is representable.

Let $V \subseteq F\langle Y, Z\rangle$ be a set of $*$-polynomials. We denote by $V(\mathcal{T}_\nu(A)) \trianglelefteq \mathcal{T}_\nu(A)$ the verbal $*$-ideal generated by results of all $*$-homogeneous substitutions of elements of $\mathcal{T}_\nu(A)$ to any $*$-polynomial from V.

Remark 2 *Given a set $V \subseteq F\langle Y, Z\rangle$, and a positive integer ν, the verbal $*$-ideal $V(\mathcal{T}_\nu(A))$ is $\widehat{F}$-closed. The quotient algebra $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu(A, V) = \mathcal{T}_\nu(A)/V(\mathcal{T}_\nu(A))$ is representable $\widehat{F}$-algebra with involution. The ideal of $*$-identities of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu(A, V)$ satisfies* $\mathrm{Id}^*(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu(A, V)) \supseteq \mathrm{Id}^*(A) + *T[V] + \mathrm{Id}^*\Big(F\langle Y_\nu, Z_\nu\rangle/\big((\mathrm{Id}^*(A) + *T[V]) \cap F\langle Y_\nu, Z_\nu\rangle\big)\Big)$.

Definition 14 *We say that a subset $V \subseteq F\langle Y, Z\rangle$ is $*$-multihomogeneous if V is $*$-invariant, and for any $f \in V$ it contains all multihomogeneous components of f.*

Lemma 24 *Let $A = A_1 \times \cdots \times A_\rho$ be the direct product of arbitrary finite dimensional $*$-algebras $A_1, \dots, A_\rho$. Suppose that $V \subseteq F\langle Y, Z\rangle$ is a $*$-multihomogeneous set, and $\nu > 0$ is an integer. Let us take any $f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mathrm{Id}^*(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu(A, V))$ $(\zeta_i \in Y \cup Z)$, and any $*$-homogeneous $*$-polynomials $h_1, \dots, h_n \in F\langle Y_\nu, Z_\nu\rangle$ (h_l symmetric or skew-symmetric in accordance with ζ_l, $\ l = 1, \dots, n$). Then the* *equality* $f(h_1,\dots,h_n) = \sum_j \mathfrak{s}_j \cdot v_{j1}\tilde{f}_j(u_{j1},\dots,u_{jm})v_{j2} \pmod{\mathrm{SId}^*(A_i)}$ *holds for any* $i = 1,\dots,\rho$. *Here* $\tilde{f}_j$ *are the full linearizations of some polynomials* $f_j \in V$; $u_{jl} \in F\langle Y_\nu, Z_\nu\rangle$ *are* $*$*-homogeneous* $*$*-polynomials;* $v_{jl} \in F\langle Y_\nu, Z_\nu\rangle$ *are monomials, possibly empty; and* $\mathfrak{s}_j \in \mathcal{S}$ *are pure form* $*$*-polynomials in the variables* $Y_\nu \cup Z_\nu$.

Proof. Given a $*$-polynomial $f(\zeta_1,\dots,\zeta_n) \in \mathrm{Id}^*(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu(A,V))$, and arbitrary $*$-homogeneous polynomials $h_1,\dots,h_n \in F\langle Y_\nu, Z_\nu\rangle$, symmetric or skew-symmetric according to variables of f, we have $f(\tilde{h}_1,\dots,\tilde{h}_n) \in V(\mathcal{T}_\nu(A))$. Here the quasi-polynomial $\tilde{h}_i = h_i(\mathfrak{y}_{11},\dots,\mathfrak{y}_{2\nu})$ is obtained by the replacement of the variables $Y_\nu \cup Z_\nu$ by the corresponding elements of $\mathfrak{Y}_\nu^*$. Hence, in the algebra $\mathcal{T}_\nu(A)$ we obtain the equality $f(\tilde{h}_1,\dots,\tilde{h}_n) = \sum_j \widetilde{\mathfrak{s}}_j \cdot \widetilde{v}_{j1}\tilde{f}_j(\widetilde{u}_{j1},\dots,\widetilde{u}_{jn})\widetilde{v}_{j2}$, where $\tilde{f}_j$ are the full linearizations of polynomials $f_j \in V$, $\widetilde{u}_{jl} = u_{jl}(\mathfrak{y}_{11},\dots,\mathfrak{y}_{2\nu}) \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(A)$ are $*$-homogeneous quasi-polynomials, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{s}}_j = \mathfrak{s}_j(\mathfrak{y}_{11},\dots,\mathfrak{y}_{2\nu}) \in \widehat{F}$ are pure form quasi-polynomials, $\widetilde{v}_{jl} = v_{jl}(\mathfrak{y}_{11},\dots,\mathfrak{y}_{2\nu}) \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(A)$ are quasi-monomials, possibly empty.

An arbitrary map $\varphi : \mathfrak{Y}_\nu^* \to A_i$ from the generating set (19) of $\mathcal{F}_\nu(A)$ into any subalgebra $A_i \subseteq A$ $(i = 1,\dots,\rho)$ can be extended to the $*$-homomorphism $\widetilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{T}_\nu(A) \to A_i$ preserving forms. Then an equality of quasi-polynomials in the algebra $\mathcal{T}_\nu(A,V)$ implies the equivalence of the corresponding form $*$-polynomials with respect to the $*$TS-ideal $\mathrm{SId}^*(A_i)$. $\square$

Lemma 25 *Suppose that* $A_1,\dots,A_\rho$ *are any* $*$*PI-reduced algebras with* $\mathrm{ind}_*(A_i) = \kappa$ *for all* $i = 1,\dots,\rho$. *Given a subset* $V \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\rho} S_\mu(A_i)$ *(for any* $\mu \geq 1$*), and a positive integer* ν, *there exists a* F*-finite dimensional* $*$*-algebra* C_ν *such that* $\mathrm{Id}^*(C_\nu) = \mathrm{Id}^*\Big(F\langle Y_\nu, Z_\nu\rangle/\big((\cap_{i=1}^{\rho}\mathrm{Id}^*(A_i) + *T[V]) \cap F\langle Y_\nu, Z_\nu\rangle\big)\Big)$.

Proof. Let us take $A = A_1 \times \cdots \times A_\rho$. By Lemmas 24, 22, for any $f(\zeta_1,\dots,\zeta_n) \in \mathrm{Id}^*(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu(A,V))$, and for any $*$-homogeneous $*$-polynomials $h_1,\dots,h_n \in F\langle Y_\nu, Z_\nu\rangle$ (symmetric or skew-symmetric according to variables of f, $i = 1,\dots,n$) we have

$$f(h_1,\dots,h_n) = \sum_j \mathfrak{s}_j v_{j1}\tilde{f}_j(u_{j1},\dots,u_{jn})v_{j2} (\mathrm{mod}\ \mathrm{SId}^*(A_i)) =$$

$$\sum_j v_{j1}\tilde{g}_j v_{j2} \pmod{\mathrm{SId}^*(A_i)} \qquad \text{for any } i = 1,\dots,\rho.$$

Here $\tilde{f}_j \in *T[V]$; $\tilde{g}_j \in *T[\tilde{f}_j] \cap F\langle Y_\nu, Z_\nu\rangle$; $u_{jl} \in F\langle Y_\nu, Z_\nu\rangle$ are $*$-homogeneous $*$-polynomials, $v_{jl} \in F\langle Y_\nu, Z_\nu\rangle$ are monomials, possibly empty; $\mathfrak{s}_j \in \mathcal{S}$. Then $g = \sum_j v_{j1}\tilde{g}_j v_{j2} \in *T[V] \cap F\langle Y_\nu, Z_\nu\rangle$. Therefore $f(h_1,\dots,h_n) - g \in \mathrm{SId}^*(A_i) \cap F\langle Y_\nu, Z_\nu\rangle = \mathrm{Id}^*(A_i) \cap F\langle Y_\nu, Z_\nu\rangle$ for all $i = 1,\dots,\rho$. Hence $f(h_1,\dots,h_n) \in \big(\mathrm{Id}^*(A) + *T[V]\big) \cap F\langle Y_\nu, Z_\nu\rangle$, and $f \in \mathrm{Id}^*\Big(F\langle Y_\nu, Z_\nu\rangle/\big((\mathrm{Id}^*(A) + *T[V]) \cap F\langle Y_\nu, Z_\nu\rangle\big)\Big)$.

By Remark 2 we have also that $\mathrm{Id}^*\Big(F\langle Y_\nu, Z_\nu\rangle/\big((\mathrm{Id}^*(A) + *T[V]) \cap F\langle Y_\nu, Z_\nu\rangle\big)\Big) \subseteq \mathrm{Id}^*(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu(A,V))$. The algebra $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu(A,V)$ is representable. Hence from Lemma 5 it follows that there exists a finite dimensional over F $*$-algebra C_ν such that $\mathrm{Id}^*(C_\nu) = \mathrm{Id}^*(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu(A,V)) = \mathrm{Id}^*\Big(F\langle Y_\nu, Z_\nu\rangle/\big((\mathrm{Id}^*(A) + *T[V]) \cap F\langle Y_\nu, Z_\nu\rangle\big)\Big)$. $\square$

5 Identities with involution of finitely generated algebras.

Lemma 26 *Let* Γ *be a non-trivial ideal of* $*$*-identities of a finitely generated associative* $*$*-algebra over a field* F *of zero characteristic. Then there exists a finite dimensional associative* F*-algebra with involution* $\widetilde{A}$ *satisfying the conditions* $\mathrm{Id}^*(\widetilde{A}) \subseteq \Gamma$, $\mathrm{ind}_*(\widetilde{A}) = \mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma)$, *and* $S_{\hat{\mu}}(\mathcal{O}(\widetilde{A})) \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$ *for some* $\hat{\mu} \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. By Lemma 1, Γ contains the ideal of $*$-identities of some finite dimensional $*$-algebra A. By Lemma 11, we can suppose that $A = \mathcal{O}(A) \times \mathcal{Y}(A)$ with the senior components $A_1, \dots, A_\rho$. It is clear that $\kappa = \mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma) \leq \kappa_1 = \mathrm{ind}_*(A)$ (Lemma 6). If $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{Id}^*(A_i)$ for some $i = 1, \dots, \rho$ then $\kappa_1 = \mathrm{ind}_*(A_i) = \kappa$. Thus, the case $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{Id}^*(\mathcal{O}(A))$ is trivial.

Assume that $\Gamma \not\subseteq \mathrm{Id}^*(A_i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, \rho_1$ $(1 \leq \rho_1 \leq \rho)$, and $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{Id}^*(A'')$, where $A'' = \times_{i=\rho_1+1}^{\rho} A_i$, $A' = \times_{i=1}^{\rho_1} A_i$. Consider the set $V = S_{\tilde{\mu}}(A') \cap \Gamma$ for $\tilde{\mu} = \widehat{\mu}(A)$ (Definition 9). Take $\nu = \mathrm{rk}(D)$ for a finitely generated $*$-algebra D such that $\Gamma = \mathrm{Id}^*(D)$. By Lemma 25, there exists a finite dimensional F-algebra with involution C_ν such that $\mathrm{Id}^*(C_\nu) = \mathrm{Id}^*\big(F\langle X_\nu^* \rangle / \big((\mathrm{Id}^*(A') + *T[V]) \bigcap F\langle X_\nu^* \rangle\big)\big)$.

Then $\widetilde{A} = C_\nu \times A'' \times \mathcal{Y}(A)$ is a finite dimensional algebra with involution. For any $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathrm{Id}^*(\widetilde{A})$, and for all $*$-polynomials $h_1, \dots, h_n \in F\langle X_\nu^* \rangle$ we have $f(h_1, \dots, h_n) = h + g$, where $h \in \mathrm{Id}^*(A')$, $g \in \Gamma \cap \mathrm{Id}^*(\mathcal{Y}(A))$. Therefore, $h = f(h_1, \dots, h_n) - g \in \mathrm{Id}^*(A') \cap \mathrm{Id}^*(A'') \cap \mathrm{Id}^*(\mathcal{Y}(A)) = \mathrm{Id}^*(A) \subseteq \Gamma$. Hence, $f(h_1, \dots, h_n) = h + g \in \Gamma$ for any $h_1, \dots, h_n \in F\langle X_\nu^* \rangle$, and $\mathrm{Id}^*(\widetilde{A}) \subseteq \Gamma$ by virtue of Remark 1. Particularly, $\mathrm{ind}_*(\widetilde{A}) \geq \kappa$.

Suppose that $A'' \neq 0$. Then $\kappa_1 = \kappa = \mathrm{ind}_*(\widetilde{A})$. Thus, either $\mathrm{ind}_*(C_\nu) = \kappa$ implying $\mathcal{O}(\widetilde{A}) = A'' \times \mathcal{O}(C_\nu)$, or $\mathrm{ind}_*(C_\nu) < \kappa$ implying $\mathcal{O}(\widetilde{A}) = A''$. Since, $S_\mu(A'') \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$ then in the first case we use Lemmas 6, 7, 8, 11 and conclude that for any $\mu \geq \max\{\widehat{\mu}(C_\nu), \tilde{\mu}\}$ we have $S_\mu(\mathcal{O}(\widetilde{A})) \cap \Gamma = S_\mu(C_\nu) \cap \Gamma \subseteq S_\mu(A') \cap \Gamma \subseteq V \subseteq \mathrm{Id}^*(C_\nu)$. Thus, in both cases $S_\mu(\mathcal{O}(\widetilde{A})) \bigcap \Gamma = \emptyset$, and $\widetilde{A}$ satisfies the claims of the Lemma.

If $A'' = 0$ then $\kappa \leq \mathrm{ind}_*(\widetilde{A}) = \max\{\mathrm{ind}_*(C_\nu), \mathrm{ind}_*(\mathcal{Y}(A))\} \leq \kappa_1$. If $\mathrm{ind}_*(C_\nu) = \kappa_1 = \mathrm{ind}_*(A')$ then $\mathcal{O}(\widetilde{A}) = \mathcal{O}(C_\nu)$, and by analogy with previous case we apply Lemmas 7, 11 for any $\mu \geq \max\{\widehat{\mu}(C_\nu), \tilde{\mu}\}$ and obtain $S_\mu(\mathcal{O}(\widetilde{A})) \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$. It also follows from Lemma 7 that $\mathrm{ind}_*(\widetilde{A}) = \mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma)$, and $\widetilde{A}$ is also the desired algebra.

The last case $A'' = 0$, $\mathrm{ind}_*(C_\nu) < \kappa_1$ gives $\widetilde{A} = C_\nu \times \mathcal{Y}(A)$ with $\mathrm{Id}^*(\widetilde{A}) \subseteq \Gamma$, and $\kappa \leq \mathrm{ind}_*(\widetilde{A}) < \kappa_1 = \mathrm{ind}_*(A)$. Then by the inductive step on $\mathrm{ind}_*(A)$ we can assume that the assertion of the Lemma holds in this case. $\square$

Lemma 26, and Lemma 7 imply the corollary.

Lemma 27 *Let* Γ *be the non-trivial ideal of* $*$*-identities of a finitely generated associative algebra with involution over a field of characteristic zero. Then* Γ *contains the ideal of* $*$*-identities of some finite dimensional associative* $*$*-algebra* A *satisfying* $\mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma) = \mathrm{ind}_*(A)$, *and* $S_{\tilde{\mu}}(A) = S_{\tilde{\mu}}(\Gamma)$ *for some* $\tilde{\mu} \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Theorem 1 *A non-zero $*T$-ideal of identities with involution of a finitely generated associative algebra with involution over a field F of characteristic zero coincides with the $*T$-ideal of identities with involution of some F-finite dimensional associative algebra with involution.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma \neq (0)$ be the ideal of $*$-identities of a finitely generated algebra with involution D. We use the induction on the Kemer index $\mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma) = \kappa = (\beta; \gamma)$ of Γ.

The base of induction. Let $\mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma) = (\beta; \gamma)$ with $\beta = (0, 0)$. Then D is a nilpotent finitely generated algebra. Hence, D is finite dimensional.

The inductive step. Lemmas 26, 27 imply $\Gamma \supseteq \mathrm{Id}^*(A)$, where $A = \mathcal{O}(A) + \mathcal{Y}(A)$ is a finite dimensional $*$-algebra with $\mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma) = \mathrm{ind}_*(A) = \kappa$. Moreover, $S_{\tilde{\mu}}(\Gamma) = S_{\tilde{\mu}}(\mathcal{O}(A)) = S_{\tilde{\mu}}(A) \subseteq \mathrm{Id}^*(\mathcal{Y}(A))$ for some $\tilde{\mu} \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Let $A_1, \dots, A_\rho$ be the senior components of the algebra A. We can assume that all A_i satisfy the claims of Lemma 4. Denote $(t_1, t_2) = \beta(\Gamma) = \mathrm{dims}_* A_i$, $t = t_1 + t_2$; $\gamma = \gamma(\Gamma) = \mathrm{nd}(A_i)$ (for all $i = 1, \dots, \rho$). Let us take for any $i = 1, \dots, \rho$ the algebra $\widetilde{A}_i = \mathcal{R}_{q_i,s}(A_i)$ defined by (3) for the senior component A_i with $q_i = \dim_F A_i$, $s = (t+1)(\gamma+\tilde{\mu})$. Then $\widetilde{A}_i$ is a finite dimensional $*$-algebra. We have also $\Gamma_i = \mathrm{Id}^*(\widetilde{A}_i) = \mathrm{Id}^*(A_i)$, and $\mathrm{dims}_* \widetilde{A}_i = \mathrm{dims}_* A_i = \beta$. The Jacobson radical $J(\widetilde{A}_i) = (X^*_{q_i})/I$ is nilpotent of class at most $s = (t+1)(\gamma + \tilde{\mu})$, where $I = \Gamma_i(B_i(X^*_{q_i})) + (X^*_{q_i})^s$. Here the algebra B_i can be considered as the semisimple parts of A_i and of $\widetilde{A}_i$ simultaneously (Lemma 3, Lemma 16). Particularly, the algebras $\widetilde{A}_i$ also satisfy the claims of Lemma 4. By Remark 2, and Lemma 5 there exists a finite dimensional over F algebra with involution C such that $\mathrm{Id}^*(C) = \mathrm{Id}^*(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu(\widetilde{A}, \Gamma))$, where $\widetilde{A} = \times_{i=1}^{\rho} \widetilde{A}_i$, $\nu = 2\mathrm{rkh}(D)$.

Let us denote $\widetilde{D}_\nu = F\langle X^*_\nu\rangle / \big((\Gamma + K_{\tilde{\mu}}(\Gamma)) \cap F\langle X^*_\nu\rangle\big)$. Lemmas 6, 8 imply that $\mathrm{ind}_*(\widetilde{D}_\nu) \leq \mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma + K_{\tilde{\mu}}(\Gamma)) < \mathrm{ind}_*(\Gamma)$. By the inductive step we obtain $\mathrm{Id}^*(\widetilde{D}_\nu) = \mathrm{Id}^*(\widetilde{U})$, where $\widetilde{U}$ is a finite dimensional over F $*$-algebra. Remark 2 yields $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{Id}^*(C \times \widetilde{U})$.

Consider a multilinear polynomial $f(\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_m) \in \mathrm{Id}^*(C \times \widetilde{U})$ in symmetric and skew-symmetric variables $\tilde{x}_i \in Y \cup Z$. Let us take any multihomogeneous (with respect to degrees of variables) and $*$-homogeneous $*$-polynomials $w_1, \dots, w_m \in F\langle Y_\nu, Z_\nu\rangle$ (w_i is symmetric or skew-symmetric according to $\tilde{x}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$). We have $f(w_1, \dots, w_m) = g + h$ for some multihomogeneous $*$-polynomials $g \in \Gamma$, $h \in K_{\tilde{\mu}}(\Gamma)$ also depending on $Y_\nu \cup Z_\nu$. Then by Lemma 24 we obtain $h = f(w_1, \dots, w_m) - g \in \mathcal{S}\Gamma + \mathrm{SId}^*(\widetilde{A}_i)$ for any $i = 1, \dots, \rho$. Hence $\tilde{h}(\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_n) \in \mathcal{S}\Gamma + \mathrm{SId}^*(\widetilde{A}_i)$ holds also for the full linearization $\tilde{h}$ of h.

Suppose that $\bar{a} = (r_1, \dots, r_{\tilde{s}}, b_{\tilde{s}+1}, \dots, b_n)$ is any elementary complete substitution of elements of the algebra A_i in $\tilde{h}$, where $r_j \in J(A_i)$, $b_j \in B_i$, $\tilde{s} = \gamma - 1$. By Lemmas 18, 15 there exists a polynomial $h_{\tilde{\mu}}(\mathcal{Z}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Z}_{\tilde{s}+\tilde{\mu}}, \mathcal{X}) \in \mathcal{S}\Gamma + \mathrm{SId}^*(\widetilde{A}_i)$ of the respective type, and an elementary substitution $\bar{u}$ from A_i in $h_{\tilde{\mu}}$ such that $h_{\tilde{\mu}}(\bar{u}) = \alpha \tilde{h}(\bar{a})$, $\alpha \in F$, $\alpha \neq 0$. Moreover $h_{\tilde{\mu}}$ is alternating in any $\mathcal{Z}_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, \tilde{s}+\tilde{\mu}$), and all variables from $\mathcal{X}$ are replaced by semisimple elements. Then we have

$$\alpha_2 h_{\tilde\mu} = \Big(\prod_{m=1}^{\tilde s+\tilde\mu}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Z}_m^{(0)}}\cdot\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Z}_m^{(1)}})\Big)h_{\tilde\mu} = \sum_j \Big(\prod_{m=1}^{\tilde s+\tilde\mu}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Z}_m^{(0)}}\cdot\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Z}_m^{(1)}})\Big)\big(\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_j\tilde g_j\big)(\mathrm{mod}\ \mathrm{SId}^*(\widetilde{A}_i)), \tag{22}$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_m^{(0)}$ is the subset of symmetric variables of $\mathcal{Z}_m$, $\mathcal{Z}_m^{(1)}$ the subset of skew-symmetric variables; $\alpha_2 \in F$, $\alpha_2 \neq 0$; $\tilde g_j \in \Gamma$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_j \in \mathcal{S}$. Denote by $\{\zeta_1,\dots,\zeta_{\hat n}\}$ the variables $\mathcal{Z}\cup\mathcal{X}$ of $h_{\tilde\mu}$ (the first variables are from $\mathcal{Z} = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\tilde s+\tilde\mu}\mathcal{Z}_m$).

Let us take in the algebra $\widetilde{A}_i$ elements $\bar x_{\pi(k)} = x_{\pi(k)} + I$, where $x_{\pi(k)} \in X_{q_i}$ are variables, $\pi(k)$ is the number of the basic elements $u_k = c_{\pi(k)}$ in the basis $\{c_1,\dots,c_{q_i}\}$ of A_i that consists of $*$-homogeneous elements chosen in (5), (6), $1 \le \pi(k) \le q_i$.

Consider the following substitution from $\widetilde{A}_i$ in $h_{\tilde\mu}(\zeta_1,\dots,\zeta_{\hat n})$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\zeta_k = (\varepsilon_{l'}\bar x_{\pi(k)}\varepsilon_{l''} + \varepsilon_{l''}\bar x^*_{\pi(k)}\varepsilon_{l'})/2 \in J(\widetilde{A}_i) \quad &&\text{if}\quad \zeta_k \in \mathcal{Z},\ \zeta_k\ \text{ is symmetric, and}\\
& &&u_k = c_{\pi(k)} \in \varepsilon_{l'}A_i\varepsilon_{l''} + \varepsilon_{l''}A_i\varepsilon_{l'};\\
&\zeta_k = (\varepsilon_{l'}\bar x_{\pi(k)}\varepsilon_{l''} - \varepsilon_{l''}\bar x^*_{\pi(k)}\varepsilon_{l'})/2 \in J(\widetilde{A}_i) \quad &&\text{if}\quad \zeta_k \in \mathcal{Z},\ \zeta_k\ \text{ is skew-symmetric,}\\
& &&u_k = c_{\pi(k)} \in \varepsilon_{l'}A_i\varepsilon_{l''} + \varepsilon_{l''}A_i\varepsilon_{l'};\\
&\zeta_k = u_k \quad \text{if}\ \ \zeta_k \in \mathcal{X}.
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Suppose that in (22) the pure form polynomial $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_j$ depends essentially on $\mathcal{Z}$. Then we get $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_j|_{(23)} = 0$, because the forms on radical elements are zero (15). If $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_j$ does not depend on $\mathcal{Z}$ then $\big(\prod_{m=1}^{\tilde s+\tilde\mu}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Z}_m^{(0)}}\cdot\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Z}_m^{(1)}})\big)\big(\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_j\tilde g_j\big) = \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_j\tilde{\tilde g}_j$, where $\tilde{\tilde g}_j = \big(\prod_{m=1}^{\tilde s+\tilde\mu}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Z}_m^{(0)}}\cdot\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Z}_m^{(1)}})\big)\tilde g_j \in \Gamma$. If $\tilde{\tilde g}_j|_{(23)} \neq 0$ in $\widetilde{A}_i$ then one of degree multihomogeneous components of $\tilde{\tilde g}_j$ is a $\tilde\mu$-boundary polynomial for $\widetilde{A}_i$. This implies that $S_{\tilde\mu}(A)\cap\Gamma \neq \emptyset$, which contradicts to the properties of A. Therefore, $\tilde{\tilde g}_j|_{(23)} = 0$. Thus, in any case $h_{\tilde\mu}|_{(23)} = 0$ holds in the algebra $\widetilde{A}_i$. Hence, the substitution

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{if}\quad \zeta_k \in \mathcal{Z},\ \zeta_k\ \text{ is symmetric, and } u_k = c_{\pi(k)} \in \varepsilon_{l'}A_i\varepsilon_{l''} + \varepsilon_{l''}A_i\varepsilon_{l'}\quad\text{then}\\
&\zeta_k = v_k = (\varepsilon_{l'}x_{\pi(k)}\varepsilon_{l''} + \varepsilon_{l''}x^*_{\pi(k)}\varepsilon_{l'})/2;\\
&\text{if}\quad \zeta_k \in \mathcal{Z},\ \zeta_k\ \text{ is skew-symmetric, and } u_k = c_{\pi(k)} \in \varepsilon_{l'}A_i\varepsilon_{l''} + \varepsilon_{l''}A_i\varepsilon_{l'}\quad\text{then}\\
&\zeta_k = v_k = (\varepsilon_{l'}x_{\pi(k)}\varepsilon_{l''} - \varepsilon_{l''}x^*_{\pi(k)}\varepsilon_{l'})/2;\\
&\zeta_k = v_k = u_k \quad \text{if}\ \ \zeta_k \in \mathcal{X}
\end{aligned}$$

in the polynomial $h_{\tilde\mu}$ gives the result $h_{\tilde\mu}(v_1,\dots,v_{\hat n}) \in I = \Gamma_i(B_i(X^*_{q_i})) + (X^*_{q_i})^s$ in the algebra $B_i(X^*_{q_i})$. Since $|\mathcal{Z}| < s$ then we obtain $h_{\tilde\mu}(v_1,\dots,v_{\hat n}) \in \Gamma_i(B_i(X^*_{q_i}))$.

Consider the map $\varphi : x_{\pi(k)} \mapsto c_{\pi(k)}$ if $c_{\pi(k)} \in D_0\cup D_1$ is a semisimple element, and $\varphi : x_{\pi(k)} \mapsto r$ if $c_{\pi(k)} = (\varepsilon_{l'}r\varepsilon_{l''} + (-1)^\delta\varepsilon_{l''}r^*\varepsilon_{l'})/2 \in U_0\cup U_1$ is a radical element ($k = 1,\dots,|\mathcal{Z}|$). It is clear that φ can be extended to a $*$-homomorphism $\varphi : B_i(X^*_{q_i}) \to A_i$ assuming $\varphi(b) = b$ for any $b \in B_i$. Then $\varphi(h_{\tilde\mu}(v_1,\dots,v_{\hat n})) = h_{\tilde\mu}(\varphi(v_1),\dots,\varphi(v_{\hat n})) = h_{\tilde\mu}(\bar u) = \alpha\tilde h(\bar a) \in \varphi(\Gamma_i(B_i(X^*_{q_i}))) = (0)$.

Therefore $\tilde h(\bar a) = 0$ holds in A_i for any elementary complete substitution $\bar a \in A_i^n$ containing $\gamma-1$ radical elements. Since $\tilde h$ is exact for A_i (Lemma 18), and $\gamma = \mathrm{nd}(A_i)$

then $\tilde{h} \in \mathrm{Id}^*(A_i)$. Hence $h \in \cap_{i=1}^{\rho}\mathrm{Id}^*(A_i)$, and $h \in \mathrm{Id}^*(\mathcal{O}(A) \times \mathcal{Y}(A)) = \mathrm{Id}^*(A) \subseteq \Gamma$. Thus we have $f(w_1, \ldots, w_m) = g + h \in \Gamma$ for any multihomogeneous $*$-polynomials $w_1, \ldots, w_m \in F\langle Y_\nu, Z_\nu\rangle$, which are symmetric or skew-symmetric respectively. The application of Remark 1 now implies that $\mathrm{Id}^*(C \times \widetilde{U}) \subseteq \Gamma$.

Therefore, $\Gamma = \mathrm{Id}^*(C \times \widetilde{U})$. Theorem is proved. $\square$

The author is grateful to A.Giambruno and S.P.Mishchenko for inspiration.

References

[1] E.Aljadeff, A.Kanel-Belov, Representability and Specht problem for G-graded algebras, Adv. math., 225(2010), 2391-2428.

[2] S.A.Amitsur, Rings with involution, Israel J. Math., 6(1968), 99-106.

[3] S.A.Amitsur, Identities in rings with involution, Israel J. Math., 7(1968), 63-68.

[4] K.I.Beidar, On theorems of A.I.Mal'tsev concerning matrix representations of algebras, (Russian), Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 41(5) (1986), 161-162; English transl. in Russian Math. Surveys, 41(1986).

[5] A.Kanel-Belov, L.H.Rowen, Computational aspects of polynomial identities, A K Peters Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 2005.

[6] V.Drensky, Free algebras and PI-algebras, Springer-Verlag Singapore, Singapore, 2000.

[7] V.Drensky, E.Formanek, Polynomial identity rings, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel-Boston-Berlin, 2004.

[8] A.R.Kemer, Ideals of identities of associative algebras, Amer.Math.Soc. Translations of Math. Monographs 87, Providence, R.I., 1991.

[9] A.R.Kemer, Identities of finitely generated algebras over an infinite field, (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 54 (1990), no. 4, 726-753; translation in Math. USSR-Izv. 37 (1991), no. 1, 69-96.

[10] A.Giambruno, S.Mishchenko, On star-varieties with almost polynomial growth, Algebra Colloquium, 8(1) (2001), 33-42.

[11] A.Giambruno, M.Zaicev, Polynomial identities and asymptotic methods, Amer.Math.Soc., Math. Surveys and Monographs 122, Providence, R.I., 2005.

[12] C.Procesi, The invariant theory $n \times n$ matrices, Adv. math., 19(3) (1976), 306-381.

[13] Ju.P.Razmyslov, Identities with trace in full matrix algebras over a field of characteristic zero, (Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., 38(1974), 723-756.

[14] A.I.Shirshov, On rings with polynomial identities, (Russian), Mat.Sbornik, 43(85) (1957), 277-283; English transl. in Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 119(1983).

[15] I.Sviridova, Identities of PI-algebras graded by a finite abelian group, Comm. Algebra, 39(9) (2011), 3462-3490.

[16] A.N. Zubkov, On a generalization of the Razmyslov-Procesi theorem, (Russian), Algebra i Logika 35 (1996), no. 4, 433-457, 498; translation in Algebra and Logic 35 (1996), no. 4, 241-254.